Appendix E: Data Tables for Sexual Assault, Rape, and Sexual Battery

Table E-1. Percentage of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and school

	Average		School 1		School 2		School 3		School 4		School 5		School 6		School 7		School 8		School 9	
Rape During 2014-2015 Academic Year	4.1	%	6.2	%	2.4	%	3.0	%	2.8	%	7.9	%	2.7	%	5.8	%	4.5	%	2.2	%
Sexual Battery During 2014- 2015 Academic Year	5.6		13.2		1.7		4.7		2.6		8.6		4.1		5.7		5.9		4.2	
Sexual Assault During 2014- 2015 Academic Year	10.3		20.0		4.2		8.7		5.8		16.9		7.0		11.9		10.7		7.1	
Sexual Assault Since Entering Any College	20.5		37.5		13.5		18.2		12.2		27.8		16.6		22.9		21.5		14.1	
Sexual Assault in Lifetime	34.4		45.8		31.0		32.0		25.7		39.4		33.2		40.5		36.2		25.7	

Table E-2. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and school

	Average		School 1		School 2		School 3		School 4		School 5		School 6		School 7		School 8		School 9	
Rape During 2014-2015 Academic Year	0.1	%	0.4	%	0.5	%	0.4	%	0.3	%	0.4	%	0.2	%	0.4	%	0.4	%	0.3	%
Sexual Battery During 2014- 2015 Academic Year	0.1		0.6		0.4		0.5		0.3		0.5		0.3		0.4		0.4		0.4	
Sexual Assault During 2014- 2015 Academic Year	0.2		0.7		0.6		0.6		0.4		0.6		0.4		0.5		0.6		0.4	
Sexual Assault Since Entering Any College	0.3		0.9		1.0		0.8		0.6		0.8		0.6		0.7		0.8		0.6	
Sexual Assault in Lifetime	0.3		0.9		1.4		1.0		0.8		0.8		0.7		0.8		0.9		0.8	

Table E-3. Relative standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and school

	Average		School 1		School 2		School 3		School 4		School 5		School 6		School 7		School 8		School 9	
Rape During 2014-2015 Academic Year	3.0	%	7.3	%	20.0	%	12.2	%	9.6	%	5.7	%	8.5	%	6.7	%	8.7	%	11.9	%
Sexual Battery During 2014- 2015 Academic Year	2.5		4.8		22.4		9.6		10.7		5.6		7.5		6.6		7.3		8.3	
Sexual Assault During 2014-2015 Academic Year	1.8		3.7		14.4		6.9		6.8		3.8		5.4		4.5		5.3		6.3	
Sexual Assault Since Entering Any College	1.2		2.4		7.4		4.5		4.7		2.8		3.5		3.1		3.5		4.5	
Sexual Assault in Lifetime	0.9		2.0		4.4		3.1		3.1		2.2		2.2		2.0		2.5		3.1	

Table E-4. Percentage of undergraduate male victims by victimization type and school

	Avera	ige	Schoo	l 1	Scho	ol 2	Schoo	13	Schoo	ol 4	School	5	School	6	Schoo	۱7	Schoo	8 lo	Schoo	19
Rape During 2014-2015 Academic Year	0.8	%	1.1	%!	0.4	%!	0.5	%!	0.9	%!	1.4	%	0.3	%!	0.7	%!	0.9	%	0.9	%!
Sexual Battery During 2014-2015 Academic Year	1.7		3.1		0.4	!	1.5		0.7	!	3.3		0.6	!	2.5		1.5		1.5	
Sexual Assault During 2014-2015 Academic Year	3.1		4.5		1.4	!	2.3		2.4		5.7		1.4		4.1		3.0		3.3	
Sexual Assault Since Entering Any College	7.0		8.6		3.7		5.3		7.5		11.8		4.1		7.1		7.9		7.3	
Sexual Assault in Lifetime	11.2		10.7		8.9		10.3		11.3		16.3		8.4		11.9		12.5		10.6	

Source: Campus Climate Survey Validation Study (CCSVS), 2015

Table E-5. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate male victims by victimization type and school

	Average		School 1		School 2		School 3		School 4		School 5		School 6		School 7		School 8		School 9	
Rape During 2014- 2015 Academic Year	0.1	%	0.3	%!	0.2	%!	0.2	%!	0.3	%!	0.3	%!	0.1	%!	0.2	%!	0.3	%	0.3	%!
Sexual Battery During 2014-2015 Academic Year	0.1		0.7		0.3	!	0.4		0.2	!	0.5		0.2	!	0.4		0.4		0.3	
Sexual Assault During 2014-2015 Academic Year	0.2		0.8		0.5	!	0.4		0.4		0.6		0.3		0.5		0.5		0.5	
Sexual Assault Since Entering Any College	0.3		1.1		0.8		0.7		0.8		0.9		0.5		0.6		0.8		0.7	
Sexual Assault in Lifetime	0.3		1.2		1.2		0.9		0.9		1.0		0.8		0.8		1.0		0.9	

! Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or RSE is greater than 50%.

Table E-6. Relative standard errors of percentage of undergraduate male victims by victimization type and school

	Average		School 1		School 2		School 3		School 4		School 5		School 6		School 7		School 8		School 9	
Rape During 2014- 2015 Academic Year	10.8	%	32.3	%!	57.4	%!	42.8	%!	27.9	%!	20.6	%!	51.2	%!	31.6	%!	27.8	%	32.6	%!
Sexual Battery During 2014-2015 Academic Year	7.9		22.2		57.4	!	23.8		28.7		15.7		29.5	!	15.6		26.0		22.3	
Sexual Assault During 2014-2015 Academic Year	5.6		17.3		33.5	!	19.0		17.2		11.2		20.9		11.9		17.1		15.9	
Sexual Assault Since Entering Any College	3.7		12.5		22.1		12.8		10.1		7.3		13.3		8.8		10.2		10.1	
Sexual Assault in Lifetime	2.9		10.8		13.2		8.9		8.1		6.0		9.2		6.6		7.9		8.3	

! Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or RSE is greater than 50%.

Table E-7. Comparison of victimization prevalence rates for undergraduate males and females by victimization type and school, 2014-2015 academic year

		S	exual	Ass	sault				Ra	ре					Sexua	l Ba	attery			Sex	cual H	aras	ssment		Co	erc	ed Se	xual	Cont	act
School	Male		Female		Diff	p-value	Male		Female		Diff	p-value	Male		Female		Diff	p-value	Male		Female		Diff	p-value	Male		Female		Diff	p-value
School 1	4.5	%	20.0	%	-15.5	0.00	1.1	%!	6.2	%	-5.1	0.00	3.1	%	13.2	%	-10.1	0.00	16.0	%	46.4	%	-30.5	0.00	3.4	%	9.7	%	-6.3	0.00
School 2	1.4	!	4.2		-2.8	0.00	0.4	!	2.4		-2.0	0.00	0.4	ļ.	1.7		-1.3	0.01	6.7		13.7		-7.0	0.00	3.5		3.8		-0.2	0.79
School 3	2.3		8.7		-6.4	0.00	0.5	!	3.0		-2.5	0.00	1.5		4.7		-3.2	0.00	10.0		28.3		-18.3	0.00	4.9		6.5		-1.6	0.06
School 4	2.4		5.8		-3.5	0.00	0.9	!	2.8		-1.8	0.00	0.7	!	2.6		-1.9	0.00	14.5		21.4		-6.9	0.00	8.4		6.7		1.7	0.06
School 5	5.7		16.9		-11.2	0.00	1.4	!	7.9		-6.5	0.00	3.3		8.6		-5.3	0.00	22.9		41.8		-18.9	0.00	7.4		11.4		-4.0	0.00
School 6	1.4		7.0		-5.6	0.00	0.3	!	2.7		-2.4	0.00	0.6	!	4.1		-3.5	0.00	8.3		18.9		-10.6	0.00	3.7		4.9		-1.2	0.04
School 7	4.1		11.9		-7.7	0.00	0.7	!	5.8		-5.1	0.00	2.5		5.7		-3.2	0.00	16.4		32.5		-16.2	0.00	6.7		9.2		-2.5	0.00
School 8	3.0		10.7		-7.8	0.00	0.9		4.5		-3.5	0.00	1.5		5.9		-4.4	0.00	15.0		27.8		-12.8	0.00	7.1		9.2		-2.1	0.02
School 9	3.3		7.1		-3.7	0.00	0.9	!	2.2		-1.3	0.00	1.5		4.2		-2.7	0.00	9.3		22.8		-13.6	0.00	7.3		8.0		-0.7	0.45

Source: Campus Climate Survey Validation Study (CCSVS), 2015

Table E-8. Standard errors of comparisons of victimization prevalence rates for undergraduate males and females by victimization type and school, 2014-2015 academic year

		Sexi	ual Ass	ault				Rape			Sexu		ttery Rape)	•	hout	Se	exua	l Hara	issm	ent	C		ed Se		
School	Male		Female		Diff	Male		Female		Diff	Male		Female		Diff	Male		Female		Diff	Male		Female		Diff
School 1	0.8	%	0.7	%	0.0	0.3	%!	0.4	%	-0.1	0.7	%	0.6	%	0.0	1.3	%	0.9	%	0.4	0.6	%	0.6	%	0.1
School 2	0.5	!	0.6		-0.1	0.2	!	0.5		-0.3	0.3	!	0.4		-0.1	1.0		1.0		-0.1	0.7		0.6		0.2
School 3	0.4		0.6		-0.2	0.2	!	0.4		-0.2	0.4		0.5		-0.1	0.9		1.0		-0.1	0.7		0.5		0.1
School 4	0.4		0.4		0.0	0.3	!	0.3		0.0	0.2	!	0.3		-0.1	1.0		0.7		0.3	0.8		0.4		0.4
School 5	0.6		0.6		0.0	0.3	!	0.4		-0.2	0.5		0.5		0.0	1.1		0.8		0.3	0.7		0.5		0.1
School 6	0.3		0.4		-0.1	0.1	!	0.2		-0.1	0.2	!	0.3		-0.1	0.8		0.6		0.2	0.5		0.3		0.2
School 7	0.5		0.5		0.0	0.2	!	0.4		-0.2	0.4		0.4		0.0	0.9		0.8		0.1	0.6		0.5		0.1
School 8	0.5		0.6		-0.1	0.3		0.4		-0.1	0.4		0.4		-0.1	1.1		0.8		0.3	0.8		0.5		0.2
School 9	0.5		0.4		0.1	0.3	!	0.3		0.0	0.3		0.4		0.0	0.8		0.8		0.1	0.7		0.5		0.2

Table E-9. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics

	Tiogra	Р		a. a.		<u> </u>							1				ı							
	Sexua 2014-			•	Sexua 2015		ault, 2 emic \			•)14-20 nic Yea		Sexua 2015		tery, 2 emic \		Sexua Ento	ıl Assa ering (ual A Lifet	ssault ime	: in
	Perce	ent	S	E	Perc	ent	SI	E	Per	cent	SI	E	Perc	ent	S	E	Perc	ent	SI	Ε	Perce	ent	SE	E
All persons/victims	28.2	%	0.3	%	10.3	%	0.2	%	4.1	%	0.1	%	5.6	%	0.1	%	20.5	%	0.3	%	34.4	%	0.3	%
Year of study														•										
1	32.3	%	0.6	%	13.1	%	0.4	%	5.4	%	0.3	%	7.0	%	0.3	%	16.7	%	0.5	%	29.5	%	0.6	%
2	32.1		0.6		11.6		0.4		4.9		0.3		6.2		0.3		20.2		0.5		32.8		0.6	
3	29.7		0.6		10.6		0.4		4.1		0.2		6.0		0.3		22.6		0.5		37.0		0.6	
4	28.5		0.6		9.7		0.4		3.4		0.2		5.9		0.3		25.1		0.5		38.5		0.6	
Other	8.4	!	2.7	!	2.5	!	1.8	!		!		!	2.5	!	1.8	ļ	17.5		3.1		23.0		3.4	
Missing		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		ļ.		!				!		!
Year of study (dichoto	omous)																							
1	32.3	%	0.6	%	13.1	%	0.4	%	5.4	%	0.3	%	7.0	%	0.3	%	16.7	%	0.5	%	29.5	%	0.6	%
2, 3, 4	29.6		0.3		10.5		0.2		4.1		0.1		5.9		0.2		22.8		0.3		36.4		0.4	
Other	8.4	!	2.7	!	2.5	!	1.8	!		!		!	2.5	!	1.8	ļ	17.5		3.1		23.0		3.4	
Missing		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		ļ.		!				!		!
Age Category																								
18-22	31.2	%	0.3	%	11.1	%	0.2	%	4.6	%	0.2	%	6.0	%	0.2	%	20.3	%	0.3	%	31.7	%	0.3	%
23+	20.9		1.4		8.9		1.3		3.6		0.9		5.0		1.0		24.0		1.6		45.0		1.4	
Race/ethnicity (dicho	tomous)	1																						
Nonhispanic White	29.8	%	0.4	%	10.4	%	0.3	%	4.5	%	0.2	%	5.6	%	0.2	%	21.1	%	0.4	%	34.5	%	0.4	%
Other	26.6		0.6		9.7		0.4		3.5		0.2		5.4		0.3		20.1		0.6		34.1		0.7	
Missing	33.1		1.8		21.8		1.6		9.7		1.2		9.2		1.1		34.0		2.0		46.0		2.2	
Gender Identity (dich	otomous	s)																						
Female	28.2	%	0.3	%	10.2	%	0.2	%	4.1	%	0.1	%	5.6	%	0.1	%	20.4	%	0.3	%	34.3	%	0.3	%
Transgender/ Something else	27.7		3.0		15.7		2.7		7.5	!	1.7	!	7.8	!	2.2	!	27.8		3.3		45.8		3.6	

(continued)

Table E-9. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics (continued)

	Sexua 2014-			•	Sexua 2015		ult, 2 emic \				14-20 nic Yea		Sexua 2015		ery, 2			ıl Assa ering (Sexu		ssault ime	in
	Percent SE		Perc	ent	SI	E	Pero	cent	SI	E	Perc	ent	S	E	Perc	ent	S	E	Perce	ent	SE	Ε		
Sexual orientation																								
Gay or lesbian	29.8	%	2.5	%	8.6	%	1.4	%	4.2	%!	1.0	%!	3.5	%!	0.9	%!	22.3	%	2.4	%	42.4	%	2.9	%
Straight	26.8		0.3		9.4		0.2		3.7		0.1		5.3		0.1		19.1		0.3		32.2		0.3	
Bisexual	42.2		1.4		18.4		1.1		8.4		0.8		9.8		0.9		35.3		1.4		58.6		1.4	
Something else	50.4		3.0		17.1		2.4		5.4		1.1		10.9		2.1		31.3		2.8		56.5		2.7	
Missing	33.3		1.9		21.5		1.7		9.4		1.2		9.1		1.1		32.4		2.0		42.9		2.2	
Sexual orientation Col	lapsed																							
Heterosexual	26.8	%	0.3	%	9.4	%	0.2	%	3.7	%	0.1	%	5.3	%	0.1	%	19.1	%	0.3	%	32.2	%	0.3	%
Nonheterosexual	41.4		1.1		16.1		0.8		7.1		0.6		8.6		0.6		32.2		1.1		54.9		1.2	
Missing	33.3		1.9		21.5		1.7		9.4		1.2	•	9.1		1.1		32.4		2.0		42.9		2.2	

SE= standard error

⁻⁻ Less than 0.05%

Table E-10. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics, school 1

	-	15 <i>A</i> Yea	Academ	•		L5 A Yea	cadem	nic	Rape, 2		Year		Sexua 201 Acade	4-2	015 Year			ng (College	æ		fetir	ne	
	Percen	t	SE		Percent	t	SE		Percen	t	SE		Percen	t	SE		Percen	t	SE		Percen	t	SE	:
Age (dichotomous)																								
18-22	46.0	%	0.9	%	19.8	%	0.7	%	6.0	%	0.4	%	13.1	%	0.6	%	37.0	%	0.9	%	45.2	%	0.9	%
23+	71.9	!	10.6	!	30.3	!	10.7	!	13.8	!	8.1	!	16.5	!	8.2	!	63.6	!	11.5	!	78.6	į.	8.9	Į.
Year of study (dichoto	mous)																							
1	40.9	%	1.5	%	19.6	%	1.2	%	6.6	%	0.8	%	12.3	%	1.0	%	25.8	%	1.4	%	34.7	%	1.5	%
2, 3, 4	48.5		1.1		20.1		0.9		6.0		0.5		13.5		0.8		41.6		1.1		49.7		1.1	
Other						!		!		!		!			-	!				!		!		·!
Missing						!		!		!		!			-	!				!		!		!
Year of study																								
1	40.9	%	1.5	%	19.6	%	1.2	%	6.6	%	0.8	%	12.3	%	1.0	%	25.8	%	1.4	%	34.7	%	1.5	%
2	47.4		1.7		21.1		1.4		6.3		0.8		13.6		1.2		34.6		1.6		44.1		1.7	
3	43.7		1.9		16.8		1.4		4.9		0.8		11.5		1.3		38.5		1.8		46.9		1.9	
4	53.6		2.1		22.2		1.8		6.8		1.1		15.3		1.5		50.8		2.1		57.3		2.1	
Other		:				!		!		-		!			-	!		:-		!		!		!
Missing		!				!		!		!		!				!				!		!		·!
Race/ethnicity (dichot	omous)																							
Nonhispanic White	49.3	%	1.3	%	21.3	%	1.1	%	7.2	%	0.7	%	13.7	%	1.0	%	38.1	%	1.3	%	45.1	%	1.3	%
Other	42.7		1.3		17.3		1.0		4.6		0.6		12.1		0.8		35.1		1.3		45.0		1.3	
Missing	59.7		5.4		41.4		5.4		14.5	!	3.8	ļ.	21.0	!	4.4	!	65.8		5.2		70.6		5.0	
Sexual orientation (did	chotomou	s)																						
Heterosexual	45.2	%	1.0	%	18.8	%	0.8	%	5.6	%	0.4	%	12.8	%	0.6	%	35.8	%	0.9	%	43.7	%	1.0	%
Nonheterosexual	57.5		3.8		27.4		3.6		11.9		2.8		15.1		2.9		47.6		3.8		63.2		3.6	
Missing	62.6		5.5		42.3		5.6		12.4	!	3.8	!	23.4	!	4.8	!	69.5		5.1		74.8		4.7	

[!] Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or RSE is greater than 50%.

⁻⁻ Less than 0.05%

Table E-11. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics, school 2

		14-2	assmer 2015 ic Year	ıt,	2	014-2	ssault 015 c Yea			•	14-20: ic Yea		2	014-	Battery -2015 nic Year		Sinc		Assault ntering ege			ıl As ifeti	sault ii me	n
	Percei	nt	SE		Perce	ent	SI	E	Perce	nt	SI	Ē	Perce	nt	SE		Perce	nt	SE		Percer	nt	SE	
Age (dichotomous)																								
18-22	16.1	%	1.5	%	4.2	%	0.8	%	3.0	%!	0.8	%!	0.9	%!	0.3	%!	8.7	%	1.1	%	20.1	%	1.6	%
23+	11.1		1.3		4.3		0.9		1.7	!	0.6	!	2.6	!	0.7	!	18.5		1.7		42.4		2.1	
Year of study (dichoto	mous)																							
1	9.6	%	1.7	%	4.1	%!	1.3	%!	2.0	%!	1.0	%!	2.1	%!	0.9	%!	12.0	%	2.0	%	32.0	%	3.0	%
2, 3, 4	15.1		1.3		4.3		0.7		2.4		0.5		1.7	!	0.4	!	13.8		1.2		29.8		1.6	
Other	11.2	!	4.5	!	4.9	!	3.3	!	4.9	!	3.3	!		!		!	19.6	!	5.6	ļ.	46.1		6.7	
Missing	64.8	!	22.6	!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!	35.2	!	22.6	!
Year of study			ı										1											
1	9.6	%	1.7	%	4.1	%!	1.3	%!	2.0	%!	1.0	%!	2.1	%!	0.9	%!	12.0	%	2.0	%	32.0	%	3.0	%
2	15.3		1.8		4.9		1.0		2.2	ļ.	0.6	!	2.7	!	0.8	!	9.9		1.4		28.1		2.2	
3	15.9		2.4		4.7	!	1.5	!	3.6	ļ.	1.4	!	0.4	!	0.3	!	20.5		2.6		33.0		3.0	
4	13.7		2.4		2.0	!	0.9	!	0.9	!	0.6	!	1.2	!	0.6	!	13.2		2.4		28.9		3.3	
Other	11.2	!	4.5	!	4.9	!	3.3	!	4.9	ļ.	3.3	!		!		ļ.	19.6	!	5.6	!	46.1		6.7	
Missing	64.8	!	22.6	!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!	35.2	!	22.6	!
Race/ethnicity (dichoto	omous)		I		I										1									
Nonhispanic White	13.7	%	1.1	%	3.8	%	0.7	%	2.1	%!	0.5	%!	1.7	%!	0.4	%!	12.2	%	1.0	%	30.4	%	1.5	%
Other	13.9		2.6		5.0	!	1.6	!	2.9	!	1.4	!	2.1	!	0.9	!	17.8		3.2		31.7		3.9	
Missing	9.6	!	4.7	!	16.1	!	6.3	!	10.2	ļ.	5.0	!		!		!	33.4	!	10.4	!	48.8	!	10.6	!
Sexual orientation (dicl	hotomou	s)	I		I										1									
Heterosexual	12.8	%	1.1	%	4.0	%	0.6	%	2.2	%	0.5	%	1.8	%	0.4	%	12.5	%	1.0	%	29.8	%	1.4	%
Nonheterosexual	27.2		4.7		3.8	!	1.8	!	2.0	ļ.	1.4	ļ	1.8	!	1.3	!	21.5		4.0		46.4		5.2	
Missing	7.7	!	4.0	!	13.0	!	5.5	!	8.2	!	4.2	!		!		!	27.0	!	9.9	!	33.1	!	10.9	!
	l		1	<u> </u>	1		·	<u> </u>	·		1		L						L					

[!] Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or RSE is greater than 50%.

⁻⁻ Less than 0.05%

Table E-12. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics, school 3

	Sexual I 2014-20		Academ	-	Sexual As 2015 Aca		•		Rape, 2 Acade			1	Sexual 2014-201 Y		cademi			sault Sind		Sexual Lif	Ass		n
	Percen	t	SE		Percent	:	SE		Percent	t	SE		Percent	t	SE	Perce	nt	SE		Percen	t	SE	:
Age (dichotomous))																						
18-22	33.6	%	1.3	%	10.8	%	0.8	%	3.4	%	0.5	%	6.4	%	0.7	6 19.3	1 %	6 1.0	%	30.8	%	1.2	%
23+	18.7		1.4		5.0		0.8		2.2		0.5		1.8		0.5	16.6	5	1.3		34.1		1.7	
Year of study (dich	notomous)																					
1	34.7	%	2.5	%	13.0	%	1.8	%	4.1	%	1.1	%	7.3	%	1.4	6 16.2	2 %	6 2.0	%	25.6	%	2.3	%
2, 3, 4	27.2		1.0		8.0		0.6		2.8		0.4		4.3		0.5	18.6	5	0.9		33.2		1.1	
Other	15.3		12.8	!	1	-	-	!			-			!	!	15.2	2 !	12.8	!	29.3	!	15.9	!
Missing					-					!	-	!	-		!	-	- !						!
Year of study																							
1	34.7	%	2.5	%	13.0	%	1.8	%	4.1	%	1.1	%	7.3	%	1.4	6 16.2	2 %	6 2.0	%	25.6	%	2.3	%
2	35.2		2.9		10.0		1.8		2.9	!	1.0	!	5.9		1.4	17.3	3	2.3		28.1		2.7	
3	28.1		1.8		8.2		1.1		3.1		0.7		4.5		0.8	17.5	5	1.5		34.1		1.9	
4	23.9		1.4		7.2		0.9		2.6		0.5		3.6		0.6	19.8	3	1.3		34.1		1.6	
Other	15.3	ļ	12.8	ļ		!		!		!		!		!	!	15.2	2 !	12.8	!	29.3	ļ	15.9	!
Missing		!		!		!		!		!		!		!	!	-	-!		!		!		!
Race/ethnicity (dic	hotomou	s)																					
Nonhispanic White	32.8	%	2.1	%	9.6	%	1.3	%	4.2	%	0.9	%	4.4	%	0.9	6 24.4	1 %	6 1.9	%	38.5	%	2.2	%
Other	27.0		1.1		8.2		0.7		2.4		0.4		4.8		0.5	16.2	2	0.9		29.9		1.1	
Missing	24.3	!	6.9	!	21.5	!	6.6	!	12.2	!	5.2	!	6.1	!	3.8 !	22.3	3 !	6.8	!	37.4		8.2	
Sexual orientation	(dichotor	nou	ıs)																				
Heterosexual	27.0	%	1.0	%	7.9	%	0.6	%	2.7	%	0.4	%	4.3	%	0.5	6 17.3	1 %	6 0.8	%	30.1	%	1.0	%
Nonhetero- sexual	42.0		3.7		15.6		2.7		4.5	!	1.5	!	9.2		2.1	29.7	7	3.4		52.5		3.7	
Missing	26.3	!	7.9	!	19.1	!	7.1	!	11.3	!	5.7	!	3.8	!	3.4 !	20.0) !	7.3	!	34.4	!	9.0	!

[!] Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or RSE is greater than 50%.

-- Less than 0.05%

Table E-13. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics, school 4

	Sexual I 2014-20		Academ	•	Sexual As 2015 Aca		•		Rape, 2 Acade			1	Sexua 2014-201		caden	nic	Sexual A		ult Sinc	e	Sexual Lif	Ass		n
	Percen	t	SE		Percent	;	SE		Percent	t	SE		Percen	t	SE		Percent	t	SE		Percen	t	SE	=
Age (dichotomou	s)										•									•				
18-22	26.2	%	0.9	%	7.3	%	0.5	%	3.6	%	0.4	%	3.0	%	0.4	%	12.9	%	0.7	%	22.9	%	0.9	%
23+	10.9		1.1		2.7		0.5		0.9	!	0.3	!	1.7	!	0.4	!	10.7		1.0		31.8		1.6	,
Year of study (die	hotomou	s)																						
1	26.2	%	1.7	%	8.7	%	1.1	%	4.3	%	0.7	%	3.6	%	0.8	%	10.7	%	1.1	%	22.5	%	1.6	%
2, 3, 4	20.3		8.0		5.1		0.4		2.4		0.3		2.4		0.3		12.7		0.7		26.8		0.9	1
Other		!	-	!		!		!		!		!		!		!	9.1	÷	5.1	!	9.1	!	5.1	. !
Missing		!	-	ļ		!		!		!		!		!		!	-	<u>:</u>		!		!		· !
Year of study																								
1	26.2	%	1.7	%	8.7	%	1.1	%	4.3	%	0.7	%	3.6	%	0.8	%	10.7	%	1.1	%	22.5	%	1.6	%
2	23.1		1.5		5.7		0.8		3.0		0.6		2.7		0.6		11.3		1.2		22.1		1.6	,
3	17.6		1.3		5.4		0.8		2.9		0.5		1.7	!	0.4	!	12.5		1.1		29.4		1.6	i
4	20.6		1.3		4.4		0.6		1.5		0.3		2.7		0.5		13.7		1.1		27.7		1.4	+
Other		!		!		!				!		!		!		!	9.1	!	5.1	!	9.1	!	5.1	!
Missing		!	-	!						!				!		!		-	1	!		!		- !
Race/ethnicity (d	ichotomo	us)																						
Nonhispanic White	21.8	%	8.0	%	5.6	%	0.5	%	2.9	%	0.3	%	2.6	%	0.3	%	11.5	%	0.6	%	24.8	%	0.9	%
Other	19.0		1.5		5.3		0.8		2.0	!	0.5	!	2.1		0.5		13.6		1.3		27.3		1.8	
Missing	30.2		4.9		15.1		3.3		6.7	!	2.2	!	7.1	!	2.4	!	20.0		3.9		36.9		5.2	
Sexual orientation	n (dichoto	mo	us)																					
Heterosexual	20.0	%	0.7	%	5.1	%	0.4	%	2.5	%	0.3	%	2.1	%	0.3	%	11.2	%	0.6	%	24.1	%	0.8	8 %
Nonhetero- sexual	39.2		3.9		12.7		2.5		4.7	!	1.4	!	8.0	!	2.1	!	24.8		3.3		46.6		3.9	
Missing	31.2		5.0		14.6	!	3.4	!	6.8	!	2.3	!	6.4	!	2.4	!	18.8		3.9		36.1		5.3	,

SE= standard error

Table E-14. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics, school 5

		L4-2	assmen 2015 ic Year	t,	Sexua 2014-201		cadem	ic	Rape, 2 Acade			,	Sexual 2014 Acade	4-2	015		Sexual As Enterin			Sexua Li	l Ass fetir		in
	Percen	t	SE		Percent	:	SE		Percent	t	SE		Percent	t	SE		Percent		SE	Percer	nt	SE	Ē
Age (dichotomous)																							
18-22	42.7	%	0.8	%	17.0	%	0.6	%	8.1	%	0.5	%	8.4	%	0.5	%	27.3	%	0.8 %	38.7	%	0.8	3 %
23+	17.5	!	4.4	ļ.	15.8	!	4.3	!	3.5	!	1.9	!	12.3	!	3.9 !	!	41.2	!	7.2 !	58.9		7.1	-
Year of study (dichotor	mous)																						
1	45.7	%	1.6	%	20.1	%	1.3	%	10.4	%	1.0	%	9.0	%	0.9	%	22.9	%	1.4 %	35.2	%	1.5	5 %
2, 3, 4	40.4		1.0		15.8		0.7		7.0		0.5		8.4		0.6		29.8		1.0	41.1		1.0)
Other		!		÷	-			!				!	-	·-	!		!	!	!		!		. <u> </u> !
Missing		!		÷	-			!				!	-	·-	!		!	!	!		!		- !
Year of study																							
1	45.7	%	1.6	%	20.1	%	1.3	%	10.4	%	1.0	%	9.0	%	0.9	%	22.9	%	1.4 %	35.2	%	1.5	5 %
2	39.9		1.6		15.8		1.2		9.2		1.0		6.7		0.8		26.0		1.4	38.3		1.6	,
3	42.7		1.7		17.2		1.3		7.7		0.9		8.8		1.0		31.7		1.6	41.8		1.7	,
4	38.3		1.8		14.2		1.3		3.9		0.7	!	9.9		1.1		31.9		1.9	43.4		1.9	
Other		!		!		-		!		-		!		!	!		!	!	!		!		- !
Missing		!		ļ.		!		!		!		!		!	!		!	!	!		!		- !
Race/ethnicity (dichoto	omous)																						
Nonhispanic - White	45.8	%	1.0	%	18.9	%	0.8	%	10.0	%	0.6	%	8.6	%	0.6	%	29.5	%	0.9 %	40.4	%	1.0) %
Other	33.0		1.4		12.1		1.0		3.5		0.5		7.9		0.8		23.4		1.4	36.6		1.6	,
Missing	50.1		6.0		28.9	!	5.4	!	10.1	!	3.7	!	18.8	!	4.7 !		45.5	!	6.1 !	52.7		6.2	
Sexual orientation (dich	hotomous	;)																					
Heterosexual	39.2	%	0.9	%	15.8	%	0.7	%	6.7	%	0.5	%	8.6	%	0.5	%	25.5	%	0.8 %	34.9	%	0.9	%
Nonheter- osexual	55.6		2.5		22.0		1.9		14.4		1.5		7.2		1.2		39.2		2.5	63.6		2.2	:
Missing	51.0	!	6.3	!	32.5	!	5.9	!	11.4	!	4.1	!	21.1	!	5.2 !		45.8	!	6.5 !	53.9	!	6.5	, i

SE= standard error

Table E-15. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics, school 6

		14-2	assmen 2015 ic Year	t,	Sexual 2014-201 Y		cadem	ic	Rape, 2 Acade				Sexual 201 Acade	4-20	015		Sexual A Enteri		ult Sind College	ce	Sexual Lif	Ass etin		n
	Percen	t	SE		Percent		SE		Percen	t	SE		Percent	t	SE		Percent	:	SE		Percent	t	SE	
Age (dichotomous)																								
18-22	21.7	%	0.7	%	7.8	%	0.4	%	3.1	%	0.3	%	4.5	%	0.3	%	16.0	%	0.6	%	30.0	%	0.7	%
23+	9.5		1.1		4.0		0.8		1.2	!	0.4	!	2.8	!	0.7	!	18.5		1.5		43.8		1.9	
Year of study (dich	notomous)																						
1	21.3	%	1.3	%	7.1	%	0.8	%	3.4	%	0.5	%	3.5	%	0.5	%	9.7	%	0.9	%	23.6	%	1.3	%
2, 3, 4	18.4		0.7		7.0		0.4		2.6		0.3		4.3		0.4		18.3		0.7		35.4		0.8	
Other	13.7	!	5.5	!		:-		·-				:-		!			17.3	!	6.9	!	38.8	!	9.5	!
Missing		!		!		:-		·-				:-		!				!		!		!		!
Year of study																								
1	21.3	%	1.3	%	7.1	%	0.8	%	3.4	%	0.5	%	3.5	%	0.5	%	9.7	%	0.9	%	23.6	%	1.3	%
2	20.1		1.3		8.7		0.9		3.6		0.6		4.6		0.7		15.9		1.1		34.0		1.6	
3	23.2		1.3		9.3		0.9		3.3		0.5		5.8		0.8		20.4		1.3		36.9		1.5	
4	14.0		0.9		4.4		0.5		1.4		0.3	:-	3.0		0.4		18.1		1.1		35.2		1.3	
Other	13.7	!	5.5	!		!		!		!		!		!		!	17.3	!	6.9	!	38.8	!	9.5	!
Missing		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!
Race/ethnicity (dic	hotomou	s)																						
Nonhispanic White	18.8	%	0.6	%	7.0	%	0.4	%	2.8	%	0.2	%	4.2	%	0.3	%	16.8	%	0.6	%	33.5	%	0.8	%
Other	19.2		1.9		6.5		1.1		1.9	!	0.6	!	3.7	!	0.9	!	15.9		1.6		32.2		2.3	
Missing	22.7	!	4.7	!	8.7	:-	2.9	·-	2.8		1.6	:-	2.9	!	1.7		11.8	!	3.4	!	24.1	!	4.7	!
Sexual orientation	(dichoton	noı	ıs)																					
Heterosexual	17.3	%	0.6	%	6.0	%	0.4	%	2.3	%	0.2	%	3.6	%	0.3	%	15.4	%	0.6	%	30.7	%	0.8	%
Nonhetero- sexual	33.0		2.3		15.2		1.9		6.2		1.1		9.0		1.6		29.0		2.3		57.7		2.4	
Missing	21.2	!	4.9	!	9.2	!	3.1	!	2.9	!	1.7	!	3.1	!	1.8	!	9.2	!	3.1	!	19.3	!	4.5	!

SE= standard error

-- Less than 0.05%

Table E-16. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics, school 7

	Sexual F 2014-20		Academ	•	Sexual As 2015 Aca		•		Rape, 2 Acade				Sexual 2014-2015 Ye		cademic			ault Sinc	æ	Sexual <i>i</i>			n
	Percent	t	SE		Percent	:	SE		Percent		SE		Percent		SE	Percen	t	SE		Percent		SE	<u>: </u>
Age (dichotomous)																							
18-22	39.6	%	1.0	%	14.4	%	0.7	%	7.0	%	0.5	%	7.0	%	0.5 %	25.8	%	0.9	%	38.5	%	1.0	%
23+	17.2		1.2		6.4		0.8		3.0		0.6		2.9		0.5	16.8		1.2		44.8		1.6	
Year of study (dich	notomous)																					
1	33.8	%	1.6	%	13.5	%	1.1	%	6.6	%	0.8	%	6.8	%	0.8 %	18.4	%	1.3	%	35.5	%	1.6	%
2, 3, 4	32.5		0.9		11.6		0.6		5.6		0.4		5.5		0.4	23.8		0.8		41.8		1.0	
Other	12.4		5.8	!		!		!		<u>:</u>	!	!		!	!	56.5	!	9.5	!	56.5	!	9.5	!
Missing		ļ		!		!		·-		:	!			!	!		!		!		!		!
Year of study															-								
1	33.8	%	1.6	%	13.5	%	1.1	%	6.6	%	0.8	%	6.8	%	0.8 %	18.4	%	1.3	%	35.5	%	1.6	%
2	35.6		1.6		12.9		1.1		6.6		0.8		5.9		0.8	20.4		1.4		38.9		1.7	
3	33.2		1.6		11.5		1.1		5.8		0.8		5.3		0.7	23.6		1.5		43.9		1.7	
4	29.6		1.4		10.7		1.0		4.7		0.7		5.3		0.7	26.6		1.4		42.4		1.6	
Other	12.4	!	5.8	!		!		!		!	!	!		!	!	56.5	!	9.5	!	56.5	!	9.5	!
Missing		ļ		!		!		·-		:	!			!	!		!		!		!		!
Race/ethnicity (dic	hotomou	s)																					
Nonhispanic White	31.1	%	0.9	%	11.0	%	0.6	%	5.3	%	0.4	%	5.5	%	0.4 %	22.4	%	0.8	%	39.9	%	1.0	%
Other	36.8		1.7		13.5		1.2		6.2		0.8		6.3		0.8	22.9		1.4		40.7		1.7	
Missing	39.0		5.7		27.1		5.1		17.6	:	4.4		5.1	!	2.5 !	44.0		6.2		61.6		6.0	
Sexual orientation	(dichoton	nou	ıs)																				
Heterosexual	31.9	%	0.8	%	11.5	%	0.6	%	5.4	%	0.4	%	5.7	%	0.4 %	21.9	%	0.7	%	39.0	%	0.9	%
Nonhetero- sexual	37.6		2.8		12.4		1.9		6.3		1.4		5.4	!	1.3 !	29.4		2.6		52.0		2.9	
Missing	40.2		5.8		27.8		5.2		18.1	!	4.5	!	5.2	!	2.5 !	40.2		6.0		58.4		6.1	

SE= standard error

-- Less than 0.05%

Table E-17. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics, school 8

	Sexual 2014-20			•	Sexual A		-			-	14-201 nic Year		Sexu 2014-20						ault Sind College	e		-	ssault i	in
	Percen	ıt	SE		Percer	nt	SE		Perce	nt	SE		Perce	nt	SE		Percen	t	SE		Perce	nt	SE	
Age (dichotomous)																							
18-22	31.3	%	0.9	%	11.7	%	0.7	%	4.6	%	0.4	%	6.7	%	0.5	%	21.3	%	0.8	%	33.1	%	1.0	%
23+	17.1		1.5		7.9		1.2		3.9		0.9		3.4		0.7		22.3		1.7		45.9		2.1	
Year of study (dic	hotomous	s)	•					•	•										•	•				
1	33.6	%	1.9	%	14.5	%	1.5	%	5.3	%	0.9	%	8.7	%	1.2	%	17.1	%	1.5	%	33.2	%	1.9	%
2, 3, 4	26.5		0.9		9.8		0.6		4.3		0.4		5.2		0.4		22.4		0.9		36.8		1.0	
Other	26.1	!	14.9	!	19.7	!	14.6	!		!		!	19.7	!	14.6	!	41.8	!	17.3	!	50.2	!	16.2	!
Missing		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!
Year of study																								
1	33.6	%	1.9	%	14.5	%	1.5	%	5.3	%	0.9	%	8.7	%	1.2	%	17.1	%	1.5	%	33.2	%	1.9	%
2	33.8		1.9		13.3		1.3		5.3		0.9		7.6		1.1		22.4		1.7		33.4		1.9	<u></u>
3	24.3		1.5		7.7		0.9		3.1		0.6		4.3		0.7		20.8		1.4		35.3		1.7	<u> </u>
4	24.0		1.4		9.5		1.0		4.5		0.8		4.4		0.7		23.7		1.4		39.9		1.6	<u> </u>
Other	26.1	!	14.9	!	19.7	!	14.6	!		!		!	19.7	!	14.6	!	41.8	!	17.3	!	50.2	!	16.2	!
Missing		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!		!
Race/ethnicity (di	chotomou	s)	1					,		,	_				1	,			,					
Nonhispanic White	27.7	%	0.9	%	9.9	%	0.6	%	4.0	%	0.4	%	5.6	%	0.5	%	20.8	%	0.8	%	35.6	%	1.0	%
Other	27.2		2.0		12.0		1.5		5.6		1.0		5.8		1.1		21.5		1.9		36.4		2.2	
Missing	35.0		5.2		28.2		4.9		11.3	!	3.5	!	14.9	!	3.8	!	43.4		5.9		53.1		6.0	<u> </u>
Sexual orientation	(dichotor	mou	s)																					
Heterosexual	26.6	%	0.8	%	9.6	%	0.6	%	4.2	%	0.4	%	5.0	%	0.4	%	19.7	%	0.8	%	33.4	%	0.9	%
Nonhetero- sexual	39.0		3.2		17.5		2.5		5.1	!	1.6	!	12.4		2.1		34.8		3.1		62.8		3.2	
Missing	34.3		5.3		28.7		4.9		11.5	!	3.5	!	15.1	!	3.9	!	44.3		6.0		51.8		6.1	

SE= standard error

Table E-18. Percentage (and standard errors) of undergraduate female victims by victimization type and demographic characteristics, school 9

	Sexual I 2014-20		Academ		Sexual As 2015 Aca		•		Rape, 2 Acade				Sexua 2014-201 Y		caden	nic	Sexual A Enteri		ult Sinc College	e	Sexual Lif	Ass		n
	Percen	t	SE		Percent		SE		Percen	t	SE		Percent	t	SE		Percent	t	SE		Percen	t	SE	<u>:</u>
Age (dichotomou	s)																							
18-22	23.5	%	8.0	%	7.3	%	0.5	%	2.2	%	0.3	%	4.5	%	0.4	%	14.6	%	0.7	%	25.8	%	0.8	%
23+	13.8	!	3.4	!	4.0	!	1.5	!	2.0	!	1.0	!	1.0	!	0.7	!	7.4	!	2.1	!	24.5		3.4	
Year of study (did	hotomou	s)																						
1	22.4	%	1.1	%	8.6	%	0.7	%	2.8	%	0.4	%	5.1	%	0.6	%	12.4	%	0.9	%	25.2	%	1.2	%
2, 3, 4	23.2		1.0		6.2		0.6		1.9		0.3		3.8		0.4		15.1		0.9		26.1		1.1	
Other		!		!	-	!	-	!		!		!	-	!		!		!	-	!		!		!
Missing		!	-	!				!		į.		ï	-	!	-	!			-	!		!		!
Year of study																								
1	22.4	%	1.1	%	8.6	%	0.7	%	2.8	%	0.4	%	5.1	%	0.6	%	12.4	%	0.9	%	25.2	%	1.2	%
2	21.5		1.5		5.4		0.8		1.9	į.	0.5	ï	2.8		0.6		13.7		1.3		23.2		1.5	
3	25.0		2.0		8.4		1.2		2.3	!	0.7	!	5.9		1.0		16.1		1.7		27.9		2.1	
4	23.7		2.0		5.3		1.0		1.4	į.	0.5	ï	2.9	!	0.7	!	16.0		1.6		28.2		2.0	
Other		!	-	!		i.		!					-	!	-	!				!		!		!
Missing		!	-	!				!		į.		ï	-	!	-	!			-	!		!		!
Race/ethnicity (di	ichotomo	us)																						
Nonhispanic White	27.0	%	1.5	%	6.9	%	0.8	%	2.2	%	0.4	%	4.3	%	0.6	%	13.8	%	1.2	%	21.9	%	1.4	%
Other	20.6		0.9		7.0		0.6		2.2		0.3		4.0		0.4		13.9		0.8		27.4		1.0	
Missing	27.3		4.4		8.8		2.6	!	1.7		1.2	:-	7.1	!	2.3	!	19.4		3.7		28.4		4.3	
Sexual orientation	n (dichoto	mo	us)																					
Heterosexual	21.3	%	8.0	%	6.2	%	0.4	%	1.7	%	0.2	%	3.8	%	0.4	%	12.5	%	0.6	%	23.9	%	0.8	%
Nonhetero- sexual	41.3		3.6		18.1		2.7		9.0		2.0		9.2		2.0		34.0		3.5		49.5		3.6	
Missing	24.8		4.6		6.3	į.	2.3	!	2.0	!	1.4	!	4.3	!	1.8	!	16.5	!	3.6	!	24.6		4.3	

SE= standard error

-- Less than 0.05%

Table E-19. Comparison of unweighted and weighted estimates for undergraduate females by type of victimization and school, 2014-2015 academic year

			Sexu	al A	ssault							Rap	e						Sexi	ual I	Battery			
	Unw	eigh	ted		We	ight	ed		Unw	eigh	ited		We	igh	ted		Unw	eigh	nted		We	ight	ed	
School	Percent		SE		Percent		SE		Percent		SE		Percent		SE		Percent		SE		Percent		SE	
Average	10.3	%	0.2	%	10.3	%	0.2	%	4.2	%	1.1	%	4.1	%	1.1	%	5.6	%	0.1	%	5.6	%	0.1	%
School 1	19.5		0.7		20.0		0.7		6.1		0.4		6.2		0.4		12.8		0.6		13.2		0.6	
School 2	4.1		0.5		4.2		0.6		2.2		0.4		2.4		0.5		1.7		0.4		1.7		0.4	
School 3	8.9		0.6		8.7		0.6		3.0		0.4		3.0		0.4		4.8		0.5		4.7		0.5	
School 4	6.1		0.4		5.8		0.4		3.0		0.3		2.8		0.3		2.6		0.3		2.6		0.3	
School 5	16.7		0.6		16.9		0.6		8.0		0.4		7.9		0.4		8.2		0.5		8.6		0.5	
School 6	7.2		0.4		7.0		0.4		2.9		0.2		2.7		0.2		4.0		0.3		4.1		0.3	
School 7	12.4		0.5		11.9		0.5		6.0		0.4		5.8		0.4		6.0		0.4		5.7		0.4	
School 8	10.7		0.5		10.7		0.6		4.4		0.4		4.5		0.4		5.9		0.4		5.9		0.4	
School 9	7.4		0.5		7.1		0.4		2.2		0.3		2.2		0.3		4.5		0.4		4.2		0.4	

Table E-20. Comparisons of sexual assault measurement methods: prevalence rates for undergraduate females by school, 2014-2015 academic year

School	Primary Measure		Two- Step 1		Two- Step 2		Two- Step 3		Two- Step 4		Two- Step 5		Behavioral Screener		Sexual Misconduct 1		Sexual Misconduct 2	
Average	10.3	%	10.1	%	8.9	%	10.0	%	9.8	%	8.3	%	11.0	%	14.1	%	32.4	%
School 1	20.0		19.7		18.1		19.8		19.4		17.3		20.8		23.3		50.9	
School 2	4.2		4.1		3.9		4.1		4.0		3.8		4.6		6.2		16.8	
School 3	8.7		8.5		6.9		8.2		8.0		5.9		9.2		12.2		32.0	
School 4	5.8		5.6		4.8		5.5		5.5		4.4		6.2		10.2		25.5	
School 5	16.9		16.7		14.0		16.5		16.4		13.1		17.1		21.9		46.7	
School 6	7.0		6.9		6.3		6.9		6.8		6.2		7.5		9.6		22.9	
School 7	11.9		11.7		10.3		11.5		11.3		9.6		13.2		15.7		36.5	
School 8	10.7		10.5		9.4		10.5		10.0		8.8		11.4		15.2		32.9	
School 9	7.1		6.8		6.1		6.5		6.7		5.4		9.3		12.3		27.4	

Primary Measure: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2.

Two-Step 1: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents determined not to be sexual assault victims based on open-ended responses to VQ or ILF3.

Two-Step 2: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents who provided only missing or unsure responses to ILF1 (when the incident(s) occurred).

Two-Step 3: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents who provided only missing or no responses to ILF2 (type of victimization).

Two-Step 4: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents who provided only missing or no responses to ILF3 (tactic(s) used).

Two-Step 5: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents who (1) were determined not to be sexual assault victims based on open-ended responses to VQ or ILF3, (2) provided only missing or unsure responses to ILF1 (when the incident(s) occurred), (3) provided only missing or no responses to ILF2 (type of victimization), or (4) provided only missing or no responses to ILF3 (tactic(s) used).

Behavioral Screener: classifies respondents who endorsed any of the sub-items in LCA2 as sexual assault victims

Sexual Misconduct 1: classifies respondents as victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2 or if respondents endorsed emotional coercion (EC1)

Sexual Misconduct 2: classifies respondents as victims if respondent (1) specified one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact for P2, (2) endorsed emotional coercion (EC1), or (3) endorsed any of the sub-items in SH1 (sexual harassment)

Table E-21. Comparisons of sexual assault measurement methods: standard errors of prevalence rates for undergraduate females by school, 2014-2015 academic year

School	Primary Measure		Two- Step 1		Two- Step 2		Two- Step 3		Two- Step 4		Two- Step 5		Behavioral Screener		Sexual Misconduct 1		Sexual Misconduct 2	
Average	0.2	%	0.2	%	0.2	%	0.2	%	0.2	%	0.2	%	0.2	%	0.2	%	0.3	%
School 1	0.7		0.7		0.7		0.7		0.7		0.7		0.8		0.8		0.9	
School 2	0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.7		1.1	
School 3	0.6		0.6		0.5		0.6		0.6		0.5		0.6		0.7		1.0	
School 4	0.4		0.4		0.3		0.4		0.4		0.3		0.4		0.5		0.8	
School 5	0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.7		0.9	
School 6	0.4		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.6	
School 7	0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.6		0.6		0.8	
School 8	0.6		0.6		0.5		0.6		0.6		0.5		0.6		0.7		0.9	
School 9	0.4		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.4		0.5		0.6		0.8	

Primary Measure: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2.

Two-Step 1: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents determined not to be sexual assault victims based on open-ended responses to VQ or ILF3.

Two-Step 2: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents who provided only missing or unsure responses to ILF1 (when the incident(s) occurred).

Two-Step 3: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents who provided only missing or no responses to ILF2 (type of victimization).

Two-Step 4: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents who provided only missing or no responses to ILF3 (tactic(s) used).

Two-Step 5: classifies respondents as sexual assault victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2, but removes respondents who (1) were determined not to be sexual assault victims based on open-ended responses to VQ or ILF3, (2) provided only missing or unsure responses to ILF1 (when the incident(s) occurred), (3) provided only missing or no responses to ILF3 (tactic(s) used).

Behavioral Screener: classifies respondents who endorsed any of the sub-items in LCA2 as sexual assault victims

Sexual Misconduct 1: classifies respondents as victims if one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact are specified for P2 or if respondents endorsed emotional coercion (EC1)

Sexual Misconduct 2: classifies respondents as victims if respondent (1) specified one or more incidents of unwanted sexual contact for P2, (2) endorsed emotional coercion (EC1), or (3) endorsed any of the sub-items in SH1 (sexual harassment)

Table E-22. Percentage of undergraduate females experiencing 1, 2, and 3 or more sexual assault victimizations, by school, 2014-15 academic year

Number of sexual assault victimizations	Avera	ge	Schoo	ol 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	13	Schoo	ol 4	Schoo	15	Schoo	ol 6	Schoo	ol 7	Schoo	18	Scho	ol 9
1	5.6	%	11.5	%	1.4	%!	5.1	%	3.1	%	8.7	%	4.1	%	6.4	%	6.0	%	4.0	%
2	3.1		5.8		2.2		2.4		1.5		4.8		1.7		3.6		3.4		2.1	
3 or more	1.6		2.7		0.6	!	1.2		1.2		3.5		1.1		1.9		1.4		0.9	

! = Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-23. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate females experiencing 1, 2, and 3 or more sexual assault victimizations, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Number of sexual assault victimizations	Average	School 1	School 2	School 3	School 4	School 5	School 6	School 7	School 8	School 9
1	0.1 %	0.6 %	0.3 %!	0.5 %	0.3 %	0.5 %	0.3 %	0.4 %	0.4 %	0.3 %
2	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
3 or more	0.1	0.3	0.2 !	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

Source: Campus Climate Survey Validation Study (CCSVS), 2015

! = Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-24. Percentage of undergraduate males experiencing 1, 2, and 3 or more sexual assault victimizations, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Number of sexual assault victimizations	Average	School 1	School 2	School 3	School 4	School 5	School 6	School 7	School 8	School 9
1	1.8 %	2.9 %	0.6 %!	1.3 %	1.2 %	3.4 %	0.4 %!	2.5 %	1.8 %	2.5 %
2	0.9	1.0 !	0.6 !	0.5 !	0.7 !	1.6 !	0.9 !	1.0 !	0.9 !	0.5 !
3 or more	0.4	0.5 !	0.2 !	0.6 !	0.4 !	0.7 !	0.1 !	0.6 !	0.3 !	0.3 !

Table E-25. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate males experiencing 1, 2, and 3 or more sexual assault victimizations, by school, 2014-15 academic year

Number of sexual assault victimizations	Avera	ıge	Schoo	ol 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	ol 3	Schoo	ol 4	Schoo	15	Scho	ol 6	Schoo	ol 7	Schoo	ol 8	Scho	ol 9
1	0.1	%	0.6	%	0.3	%!	0.3	%	0.3	%	0.5	%	0.1	%!	0.4	%	0.4	%	0.4	%
2	0.1		0.3	!	0.3	!	0.2	!	0.2	!	0.4	!	0.2	!	0.3	!	0.3	!	0.2	!
3 or more	0.1		0.3	!	0.2	!	0.2	!	0.2	!	0.2	!	0.1	!	0.2	!	0.2	!	0.2	!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-26. Victimization rate among undergraduate females, by victimization type and school, 2014-2015 academic year

Type of victimization	Avera	ge Schoo	ol 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	13	Schoo	l 4	Schoo	l 5	Schoo	ol 6	Schoo	17	School	8	Schoo	19
Any Sexual Assault	175.6	324.5		84.8		144.8		105.4		298.6		119.5		208.4		173.7		120.3	
Rape	54.2	75.3		31.2		36.6		37.3		109.5		34.8		75.9		59.4		28.0	
Sexual Battery	96.3	221.0		33.6		75.5		45.9		159.3		67.9		98.4		96.3		68.7	
Unsure	4.9	7.2		3.6	!	9.0		1.9	!	5.7	!	2.7	ļ.	5.5	!	4.3	!	4.3	!
No Types of Sexual Contact Endorsed	5.4	1.1	!	2.5	!	9.5		6.9		8.3	!	0.9	!	7.9		4.2	!	7.7	
Missing by Design	10.1	13.5		7.9	!	9.7		7.9		12.2		10.3		15.0		4.5		10.0	
Missing	4.6	6.5	!	6.0	!	4.5	!	5.5	!	3.5	!	2.8	!	5.7		5.1		1.6	ļ

Table E-27. Standard errors of victimization rate among undergraduate females, by victimization type and school, 2014-2015 academic year

Type of victimization	Avera	ge Scho	ol 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	13	Schoo	14	Schoo	15	School 6	School 7	School 8	School 9
Any Sexual Assault	1.0	4.7		5.5		0.6		1.9		2.7		2.5	1.8	2.5	2.2
Rape	1.7	5.9		6.5		3.8		3.2		7.2		3.6	4.9	4.4	3.5
Sexual Battery	1.9	7.2		7.0		4.4		3.7		7.9		4.8	5.0	4.6	4.1
Unsure	0.6	1.8	;	2.1	!	2.1		0.9	!	2.1	!	1.2 !	1.7 !	1.3 !	1.5 !
No Types of Sexual Contact Endorsed	0.6	0.7	· !	1.3	!	2.1		1.9		2.5	!	0.6 !	2.1	1.4 !	1.9
Missing by Design	0.8	2.7		3.0	!	2.2		1.9		2.9		2.1	2.5	1.3	2.4
Missing	0.6	2.1	!	2.9	!	1.6	!	1.7	!	1.5	!	1.2 !	1.6	1.4	0.9 !

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-28. Victimization rate among undergraduate males, by type of victimization and school, 2014-15 academic year

Type of victimization	Avera	ge	Schoo	l 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	13	Schoo	14	Schoo	l 5	Schoo	l 6	Schoo	l 7	School	8	Schoo	19
Any Sexual Assault	52.9		70.4		28.8		41.5		45.1		95.7		27.3		69.2		48.5		49.8	
Rape	10.1		12.9	:-	8.1	:	6.4	!	9.3	!	19.9		3.8	į	7.4	!	11.1		11.8	!
Sexual Battery	23.1		40.5		6.9	!	22.5		10.6		45.7		6.8	İ	35.3		21.6		17.8	
Unsure	6.4		2.2	!	7.1	!	2.8	!	8.7	!	11.4	!	8.7	İ	5.5	ļ.	3.4	!	8.4	!
No Types of Sexual Contact Endorsed	5.4		3.5	!	2.5	!	2.9	!	4.2	!	7.5	!	5.8		9.2		6.8	. .	6.0	!
Missing by Design	4.6		4.1	!	4.2	!	1.9	!	6.0	!	7.9	!	2.2	İ	5.3	!	4.1	!	5.9	!
Missing	3.3		7.2	!		!	4.9	!	6.4	!	3.2	!		!	6.5	!	1.5	!		!

Table E-29. Standard errors of victimization rate among undergraduate males, by type of victimization and school, 2014-15 academic year

Type of victimization	Avera	ge	Schoo	l 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	l 3	Schoo	ol 4	Schoo	l 5	Schoo	l 6	Schoo	l 7	School	8	School	19
Any Sexual Assault	1.1		5.4		1.4		0.3		2.4		5.7		1.4		2.3		2.7		2.7	
Rape	1.1		3.8	!	3.5	!	2.3	!	2.8	!	4.5		2.2	!	2.6	!	2.7		3.6	!
Sexual Battery	1.6		7.3		3.6	!	3.1		2.6		8.2		2.3	!	4.5		4.3		3.5	
Unsure	1.0		1.7	!	3.9	!	1.5	!	3.1	!	4.5	!	2.9	!	2.0	!	1.6	!	3.4	!
No Types of Sexual Contact Endorsed	0.9		2.0	!	2.5	!	1.6	!	2.0	!	3.8	!	2.4	!	2.6		2.8	!	2.7	!
Missing by Design	0.8		2.9	!	2.8	!	1.4	!	2.4	!	3.5	!	1.5	!	1.9	!	2.0	!	2.8	!
Missing	0.6		3.6	!		!	2.1	!	2.3	!	2.2	!		ļ	2.2	ļ.	1.1	!		!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-30. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual assault incidents in which various types of assault were specified, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Sexual assault	Avera	ge	Schoo	l 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	13	Schoo	ol 4	Schoo	l 5	Schoo	ol 6	Schoo	17	Schoo	8	Schoo	l 9
Rape	31.6	%	24.2	%	40.5	%	27.1	%	38.3	%	38.2	%	31.9	%	39.2	%	35.1	%	25.4	%
Sexual Battery	57.9		71.1		43.7		55.9		47.0		55.6		62.2		50.9		56.9		62.3	
Unsure	3.6		2.3		4.6	!	6.6		2.0	!	2.0	!	2.5	!	2.9	!	2.5	!	3.9	
No Types of Sexual Contact Endorsed	4.0		0.3	!	3.3	!	7.0		7.0		2.9	!	0.8	!	4.1		2.5	!	7.0	
Missing	3.0		2.1	!	7.8	!	3.4	!	5.7	!	1.2	!	2.6	!	3.0		3.0		1.4	

Table E-31. Standard error of percentage of undergraduate female sexual assault incidents in which various types of assault were specified, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Sexual assault	Avera	ge	Schoo	l 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	13	Schoo	l 4	Schoo	l 5	Schoo	ol 6	Schoo	17	School	8	Schoo	ıl 9
Rape	1.0	%	1.9	%	7.6	%	2.8	%	3.3	%	2.5	%	3.3	%	2.4	%	2.5	%	3.1	%
Sexual Battery	1.1		2.0		7.7		3.1		3.5		2.6		3.5		2.5		2.6		3.4	
Unsure	0.5		0.6		2.7	!	1.5		0.9	!	0.7	!	1.1	!	0.9	!	0.8		1.4	
No Types of Sexual Contact Endorsed	0.5		0.2	!	1.7	!	1.6		1.9		0.9	-:	0.6		1.1		0.8		1.7	
Missing	0.4		0.7	!	3.8	!	1.2	!	1.7	!	0.5	!	1.1	!	0.8		0.9		0.8	

Source: Campus Climate Survey Validation Study (CCSVS), 2015

! = Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-32. Percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents in which various types of penetration were specified, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Rape	Type Penetra No Special Avera	ation t fied	Aver	age	Scho	ol 1	Scho	ol 2	Scho	ol 3	Scho	ol 4	Schoo	ol 5	School	ol 6	School	ol 7	Schoo	ol 8	Schoo	ol 9
Oral sex	3.9	%	36.7	%	33.3	%	28.5	%!	36.1	%	51.3	%	41.0	%	37.9	%	32.7	%	32.3	%	48.5	%
Anal sex	6.6		10.3		6.5	!	18.3	!	10.4	!	9.7	!	6.2	!	8.7	!	8.2		13.9		13.5	!
Sexual intercourse	2.5		58.7		52.2		61.7		61.2		52.8		53.7		56.0		63.9		62.7		51.6	
Sexual penetration with finger or object	3.7		54.0		51.7		74.5		52.8		56.3		59.9		41.8		51.9		55.7		49.7	

! = Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-33. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents in which various types of penetration were specified, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Rape	Type Penetra no Specif Avera	ation t fied	Aver	age	Scho	ol 1	Scho	ol 2	Schoo	ol 3	Schoo	ol 4	Schoo	ol 5	Scho	ol 6	School	ol 7	Schoo	ol 8	Schoo	ol 9
Oral sex	0.7	%	1.9	%	4.0	%	11.0	%!	5.8	%	5.4	%	4.0	%	5.8	%	3.8	%	4.2	%	7.1	%
Anal sex	1.0		1.3		2.1	!	11.6	ļ	3.7	!	3.2	!	2.0		3.3	!	2.1		3.1		5.0	
Sexual intercourse	0.6		1.9		4.4		12.0		5.9		5.4		4.1		6.0		3.8		4.2		7.1	
Sexual penetration with finger or object	0.8		2.0		4.4		11.5		6.0		5.4		4.0		6.0		4.0		4.4		7.1	

Source: Campus Climate Survey Validation Study (CCSVS), 2015

! = Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-34. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual assault incidents in which various tactics were used by offender (reported by victim), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Tactics used during victimization	Perce Miss Avera	ing	Aver	age	Scho	ol 1	Scho	ol 2	Schoo	ol 3	Schoo	ol 4	Scho	ol 5	Scho	ol 6	Schoo	ol 7	Schoo	ol 8	Schoo	ol 9
Touched or grabbed	11.2	%	85.4	%	89.8	%	84.3	%	79.2	%	81.9	%	89.9	%	92.1	%	87.9	%	86.7	%	83.2	%
Threatened to hurt you or someone you care about	6.7		4.9		2.1	!	9.1	!	4.7		5.7		6.1		4.9	!	5.7		5.1		7.5	
Used physical force against you	6.2		23.7		19.0		34.0		20.0		31.3		27.1		21.2		27.6		25.7		22.5	
You were unable to provide consent to stop what was happening	5.5		24.9		24.7		28.3		23.4		19.7		28.1		23.3		25.0		28.8		20.5	
Other	26.5		6.0		4.9		11.6	!	6.2		7.9		4.2		7.5		6.1		5.5		7.1	

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-35. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual assault incidents in which various tactics were used by offender (reported by victim), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Tactics used during victimization	Perc Miss Aver	ing	Aver	age	Scho	ol 1	Scho	ol 2	Schoo	ol 3	Schoo	ol 4	Scho	ol 5	Scho	ol 6	Scho	ol 7	Schoo	ol 8	Scho	ol 9
Touched or grabbed	0.8	%	0.8	%	1.2	%	4.8	%	2.5	%	2.8	%	1.5	%	2.0	%	1.7	%	1.7	%	2.6	%
Threatened to hurt you or someone you care about	0.6		0.5		0.6	!	4.7	!	1.3		1.7		1.2		1.6	!	1.2		1.1		2.0	
Used physical force against you	0.5		0.9		1.7		7.4		2.5		3.2		2.3		2.9		2.3		2.3		3.0	
You were unable to provide consent to stop what was happening	0.5		1.0		1.8		6.8		2.6		2.6		2.3		3.0		2.1		2.3		2.7	
Other	1.0		0.6		1.0		5.8	!	1.5		1.9		1.0		1.8		1.2		1.3		1.9	

! = Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-36. Percentage and standard errors of overlap of type of tactics and type of sexual assault, 2014-2015 academic year

Table E-37. Percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents in which offender used various tactics (reported by victim), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

_		_		_							_									
Tactic	Avera	ige	Schoo	l 1	Scho	ol 2	Schoo	ol 3	Schoo	ol 4	Schoo	l 5	Schoo	ol 6	Schoo	l 7	Schoo	8	Schoo	ol 9
Tactic - Threat or force	used																			
Yes	43.7	%	43.4	%	68.6	%	42.6	%	43.2	%	47.2	%	33.3	%	47.3	%	41.9	%	43.0	%
No	44.9		48.1		31.4	!	42.7		45.0		41.4		60.5		39.3		46.7		50.1	
Unsure	7.3		6.2	!		!	11.9	!	9.5	!	7.2	!	2.1	!	5.8	!	6.2	!	5.3	!
Tactic - Incapacitated d	uring inci	dent																		
Yes	52.4	%	63.8	%	46.7	%!	50.5	%	42.8	%	55.9	%	55.6	%	46.6	%	57.2	%	34.1	%
No	42.9		34.3		53.3	%	44.9		51.3		42.4		39.4		42.6		39.6		57.8	
Unsure	3.1		1.2	!		!	3.0	!	5.9	!	1.6	!	2.3	!	3.5	!	2.6	!	8.1	!

Table E-38. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents in which offender used various tactics (reported by victim), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Tactic	Avera	ge	Schoo	l 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	l 3	Schoo	l 4	Schoo	l 5	Schoo	ol 6	Schoo	l 7	School	8	Schoo	19
Tactic - Threat or force u	ised																			
Yes	2.0	%	4.4	%	10.9	%	6.0	%	5.4	%	4.1	%	5.7	%	4.0	%	4.4	%	7.0	%
No	2.0		4.4		10.9	!	6.0		5.3		4.1		5.9		3.9		4.4		7.1	
Unsure	1.1		1.9	!		!	3.9	!	3.5	!	2.1	!	2.0	!	1.9	!	2.1	į.	2.9	!
Tactic - Incapacitated du	ring incid	dent																		
Yes	2.0	%	4.4	%	12.2	%!	6.0	%	5.3	%	4.1	%	6.0	%	4.0	%	4.4	%	6.5	%
No	2.0		4.4		12.2	%	6.0		5.4		4.1		5.9		3.9		4.4		6.9	
Unsure	0.7		0.8	!		!	2.1	!	3.3	!	1.0	!	2.2	!	1.5	!	1.3	!	3.8	!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-39. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents in which offender used various tactics (reported by victim), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

						_														
Tactic	Avera	ge	Schoo	l 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	l 3	Schoo	ol 4	Schoo	l 5	Schoo	ol 6	Schoo	17	Schoo	8	Schoo	ol 9
Tactic - Touched or grabl	actic - Touched or grabbed																			
Yes	92.9	%	92.4	%	/	%	93.2	%	92.7	%	92.8	%	96.8	%	95.7	%	90.7	%	92.7	%
No	5.0		6.4		1	!	4.0	!	3.6	!	5.4		2.5	!	3.8	!	6.3		5.8	!
Unsure	0.8	!	0.2	!	1	!	1.4	!	0.8	!	1.3			!	0.5	!	0.9		0.9	!
Tactic - Threat or force used																				
Yes	19.3	%	12.6	%	16.3	%!	15.8	%	33.4	%	19.2	%	20.9	%	22.9	%	23.5	%	19.8	%
No	74.8		81.5		83.7		76.2		62.5		75.8		76.4		71.1		71.1		73.8	
Unsure	2.0		1.6	!	-	!	3.0	!	3.0	!	1.6	!	1.3	!	1.0	!	1.7	-:	2.8	!
Tactic - Incapacitated du	ring incid	dent																		
Yes	12.7	%	11.1	%	14.5	%!	16.7	%	5.6	%!	9.6	%	7.1	%!	10.3	%	13.3	%	16.9	%
No	83.1		83.8		80.2		78.9		91.6		86.2		90.8		84.5		83.3		77.7	
Unsure	0.7	!	1.2	!		!	0.7	!		!	0.4	!		!	0.5	!	0.4	!	2.6	!

/ Greater than 99.5%

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-40. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents in which offender used various tactics (reported by victim), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Tactic	Avera	ge	Schoo	l 1	Schoo	ol 2	Schoo	l 3	Schoo	l 4	Schoo	l 5	Schoo	ol 6	Schoo	17	Schoo	8	Schoo	l 9
Tactic - Touched or grabl	bed																			
Yes	0.7	%	1.2	%		%	2.1	%	2.7	%	1.8	%	1.9	%	1.4	%	1.9	%	2.2	%
No	0.6		1.1			!	1.6	!	2.2	!	1.6	!	1.8	!	1.4	!	1.6		2.0	!
Unsure	0.3	!	0.2	!		!	1.0	!	0.8	!	0.7	!		!	0.4	!	0.6	!	0.8	!
Tactic - Threat or force used																				
Yes	1.2	%	1.6	%	7.8	%!	3.0	%	4.9	%	2.8	%	3.9	%	3.0	%	2.9	%	3.7	%
No	1.3		1.9		7.8		3.5		5.0		3.0		4.0		3.2		3.1		4.0	
Unsure	0.4		0.6	!		!	1.4	!	1.7		0.8	!	0.9	!	0.7	!	0.8	!	1.6	!
Tactic - Incapacitated du	ring incid	lent																		
Yes	1.0	%	1.5	%	7.0	%!	3.1	%	2.0	%!	2.1	%	2.4	%!	2.0	%	2.2	%	3.3	%
No	1.1		1.8		8.5		3.4		2.5		2.4		2.6		2.4		2.4		3.6	
Unsure	0.2	!	0.6	!		!	0.7	!		!	0.4	!		!	0.4	!	0.4	!	1.4	!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-41. Number of sexual assault incidents by month and year of study among undergraduate females, 2014-2015 academic year

	All	All Types of Sexual Assault Victimization												
		Year o	f Study											
	Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	Senior										
August, 2014	121	137	140	102										
September, 2014	330	152	133	177										
October, 2014	299	205	135	238										
November, 2014	155	166	154	122										
December, 2014	91	74	104	123										
January, 2015	119	83	121	135										
February, 2015	156	129	161	148										
March, 2015	143	93	120	148										
April/May, 2015	31	46	59	100										
Unsure/Don't know	171	221	234	338										

Table E-42. Number of rape and sexual battery incidents by month among undergraduate females, 2014-2015 academic year

	Ту	pe of Victimization	on
	Rape	Battery	Unsure
August, 2014	189	306	11
September, 2014	318	467	20
October, 2014	308	567	26
November, 2014	247	332	30
December, 2014	189	211	3
January, 2015	198	242	24
February, 2015	217	376	8
March, 2015	146	352	18
April/May, 2015	64	167	7
Unsure/Don't know	167	723	82

Table E-43. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual assault victims who indicated unsure in which month/year the incident occurred, by *survey item Ica3* response and year of study, 2014-2015 academic year

Survey Item LCA3 Response	1st Year		2nd Year		3rd Year		4th Year	
Provided Month/Year in Reference Period	63.0	%	39.6	%	48.0	%	37.3	%
Indicated "Never"	14.8		9.4		18.0		20.9	
Provided Month/Year Outside of Reference Period	14.8		26.4		22.0		23.9	
Left Missing	7.4		24.5		12.0		17.9	

Table E-44. Basic Characteristics of undergraduate female rape incidents (percentage of rape incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

											Scho	ol								
Incident Characteristic	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Number of Offenders																				
One	91.1	%	97.4	%	91.8	%	86.9	%	90.3	%	97.2	%	93.5	%	88.3	%	91.8	%	86.2	%
More than one	5.0		1.8	!	-	!	7.1	!	7.6	!	2.0	!	4.3	!	7.5		3.8	!	5.9	!
Unsure	3.6		0.7	!	8.2	!	6.0	!	2.0	!		!	2.2	!	2.8	!	4.4	!	7.8	!
Gender of Offender(s)																				
Male	93.9	%	96.2	%	/	%	86.5	%	88.9	%	98.3	%	93.7	%	94.5	%	98.6	%	92.4	%
Female	2.0		1.4	!	1	!	3.0	!	4.4	!	0.9	!	6.9	!	0.8	!	1	!	5.7	!
Transgender	0.8	!		!		!	3.0	!	1.1	!		!		!		!		!	2.0	!
Unsure	0.5	!		!		!	1.6	!	1.0	!		!		!	0.6	!		!		!
Location of Incident																				
On campus	32.8	%	53.3	%	3.7	%!	25.1	%	33.0	%	62.1	%	37.1	%	35.9	%	21.7	%	20.1	%
Off campus	65.6		46.7		96.3		70.4		67.0		37.9		62.9		62.0		77.7		75.5	
Unsure	1.3	!		!		!	4.5	!		!		!		!		!	0.7	!	4.4	!
Offender(s) Affiliated with Sc	hool																			
Yes	54.5	%	71.1	%	18.5	%!	45.0	%	51.9	%	66.5	%	43.2	%	59.8	%	53.1	%	53.6	%
None	40.4		25.2		65.2		48.9		39.6		31.2		53.6		33.7		43.3		40.9	
Unsure	3.9		0.6	!	16.3	!	6.1	!	4.0	!	2.3	!	3.3	!	3.1	!	3.5	!	5.4	!
Relationship to Offender																				
Stranger	9.2	%	7.5	%!	20.1	%!	8.8	%!	9.8	%!	5.6	%!	4.6	%!	11.9	%	11.3	%	1.7	%!
Current or ex friend or roommate	15.8		19.0		7.8	!	11.1	!	14.8		12.3		19.8		15.1		18.2		23.3	
Current or ex dating partner or spouse	22.7		20.3		37.5	!	13.1	!	37.9		23.7		23.2		27.4		23.5		15.9	!
Someone else known to victim	58.7		56.5		34.6	!	70.9		50.1		59.8		53.8		55.6		56.5		61.5	

Table E-44. Basic Characteristics of undergraduate female rape incidents (percentage of rape incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ol								
Incident Characteristic	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Offender Drug/Alcohol Use																				
Yes	59.4	%	71.1	%	64.5	%	55.4	%	52.3	%	62.8	%	47.4	%	58.4	%	62.2	%	50.3	%
No	26.2		21.3		23.6	!	26.8		37.3		21.6		43.5		25.1		24.1		23.9	
Unsure	13.6		7.6	!	11.9	!	16.3		8.4	!	15.6		9.1	!	14.3		13.7		25.8	
Victim Drug/Alcohol Use																				
Yes	63.0	%	74.9	%	44.3	%!	63.0	%	46.5	%	63.3	%	55.0	%	58.5	%	72.2	%	43.4	%
No	34.6		24.6		47.6	!	35.4		51.1		36.7		45.0		36.6		26.2		44.8	
Unsure	1.9		0.5	!	8.2	!	1.6	!	2.3	!		!		!	1.3	!	1.5	!	11.8	!

/ Greater than 99.5%

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-45. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents involving characteristic

											Scho	ol							
Incident Characteristic	Averag	е	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9
Number of Offenders																			
One	1.2	%	1.4	%	7.6	%	4.0	%	3.4	%	1.4	%	3.1	%	2.5	%	2.4	%	4.8 %
More than one	0.9		1.2	!		!	3.0	ļ	3.1	!	1.3	!	2.4	:	2.1		1.5	!	3.3 !
Unsure	0.8		0.7	!	7.6	!	2.9	!	1.4	!		!	2.1	!	1.3	!	2.0	!	3.7 !
Gender of Offender(s)																			
Male	1.0	%	1.6	%		%	4.1	%	3.6	%	1.1	%	2.9	%	1.8	%	1.0	%	3.7 %
Female	0.6		0.9	!		!	2.1	!	2.6	!	0.8	!	3.2	!	0.7	!		!	3.2 !
Transgender	0.5	!		!		!	2.1	!	1.1	!		!		!		!		!	1.9 !
Unsure	0.3	!		!		!	1.6	!	1.0	!		!		!	0.6	!		!	!
Location of Incident												•							
On campus	1.8	%	4.4	%	3.7	%!	5.1	%	5.1	%	4.0	%	5.8	%	3.8	%	3.4	%	5.6 %
Off campus	1.8		4.4		3.7		5.4		5.1		4.0		5.8		3.8		3.5		6.1
Unsure	0.6	!		!		!	2.5	!		!		!		!		!	0.7	!	3.0 !
Offender(s) Affiliated with Sc	hool											•							
Yes	2.0	%	3.9	%	7.9	%!	6.0	%	5.4	%	3.9	%	6.0	%	4.0	%	4.5	%	7.0 %
None	2.0		3.7		11.5		6.0		5.2		3.8		6.1		3.8		4.5		6.9
Unsure	0.9		0.6	!	10.2	!	2.9	!	2.2	!	1.2	!	3.1	!	1.5	!	1.8	!	3.0 !
Relationship to Offender																			
Stranger	1.3	%	2.2	%!	10.5	%!	3.4	%!	3.4	%!	1.8	%!	2.5	%!	2.6	%	3.4	%	1.6 %!
Current or ex friend or roommate	1.4		3.4		5.3	!	3.7	!	3.9		2.6		4.8		2.8		3.5		6.0
Current or ex dating partner or spouse	1.6		3.7		12.5	!	4.1	!	5.2		3.5		5.0		3.6		3.8		4.9 !
Someone else known to victim	1.9		4.4		10.7	ļ.	5.5		5.4		4.0		6.1		4.0		4.5		6.9

Table E-45. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents involving characteristic (continued)

											Scho	ol								
Incident Characteristic	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Offender Drug/Alcohol Use																				
Yes	2.0	%	4.1	%	11.2	%	6.0	%	5.4	%	3.9	%	6.1	%	3.9	%	4.3	%	7.1	%
No	1.7		3.9		9.1	<u>.</u>	5.3		5.2		3.3		6.0		3.5		3.7		5.8	
Unsure	1.4		2.1	!	8.3	!	4.5		3.0	!	3.0		4.0	!	2.8		3.0		6.4	
Victim Drug/Alcohol Use																				
Yes	1.9	%	4.0	%	11.9	%!	5.8	%	5.4	%	4.0	%	6.0	%	3.9	%	4.0	%	7.0	%
No	1.9		4.0		12.3	<u>.</u>	5.8		5.4		4.0		6.0		3.8		3.9		7.0	
Unsure	0.6		0.4	!	7.6	!	1.6	!	2.2	!		!		!	0.8	!	1.0	!	5.1	!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-46. Basic characteristics of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents (percentage of sexual battery incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Characteristics											Scho	ol								
of incident	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Number of Offenders																				
One	93.5	%	93.7	%	/	%	93.0	%	93.2	%	92.6	%	94.4	%	91.5	%	94.0	%	94.4	%
More than one	4.5		5.2		-	!	3.4	!	4.1	!	5.1	!	4.1	!	6.3		5.0	!	3.1	!
Unsure	1.9		1.2	!	1	!	3.6		0.9		2.3	!	0.8	!	2.2	!	1.0	!	2.5	!
Gender of Offender(s)																				
Male	95.2	%	97.1	%	98.8	%	95.6	%	92.4	%	94.6	%	94.1	%	97.1	%	93.1	%	95.6	%
Female	2.3		0.8	!	1.2	!	1.5		2.8		2.2	!	5.7	!		!	4.6	!	3.0	!
Transgender	0.2	!		!	1	!					1.4	!		!		!	0.6	!		!
Unsure	0.9		0.8	!	I	!	0.7				1.0	!		!	1.8	!	1.5	!	1.1	!
Location of Incident																				
On campus	27.5	%	33.1	%	3.7	%!	24.5	%	44.9	%	46.9	%	27.3	%	29.3	%	17.0	%	22.9	%
Off campus	71.5		66.2		96.3		74.0		51.7		51.2		72.7		70.3		82.4		76.6	
Unsure	0.8	!	0.8	!	-	!	1.5	!	2.3	!	2.0	!		!	0.4	!	-	!	0.5	!
Offender(s) Affiliated with Sc	hool																			
Yes	55.5	%	64.3	%	16.3	%!	50.4	%	56.5	%	63.0	%	39.2	%	68.6	%	55.0	%	41.2	%
None	30.0		21.8		78.3		31.7		33.8		24.0		55.3		21.4		29.3		38.6	
Unsure	13.2		13.5		5.3	!	16.5		4.7	!	11.5		4.0	!	9.2		14.8		18.4	
Relationship to Offender																				
Stranger	34.4	%	42.4	%		%!	34.6	%	23.3	%	24.5	%	24.3	%	26.1	%	36.6	%	46.6	%
Current or ex friend or roommate	11.9		11.5		10.9	!	15.8		18.9		11.1		20.1		11.4		6.7		6.3	!
Current or ex dating partner or spouse	7.2		5.4		20.6	!	5.7	!	10.4	!	4.1	!	9.2		10.0		9.0		4.5	!
Someone else known to victim	48.1		44.7		68.4		41.2		48.8		60.9		51.3		57.5		49.6		45.9	

Table E-46. Basic characteristics of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents (percentage of sexual battery incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Characteristics											Scho	ol								
of incident	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Offender Drug/Alcohol Use																				
Yes	57.6	%	65.2	%	74.6	%	49.9	%	39.3	%	61.2	%	53.1	%	61.5	%	63.2	%	51.3	%
No	21.0		13.2		14.9	!	23.3		40.3		19.5		30.2		17.7		19.5		24.8	
Unsure	20.7		20.9		10.6	!	26.1		18.5		19.3		15.1		20.5		16.9		22.9	
Victim Drug/Alcohol Use																				
Yes	48.5	%	62.0	%	43.9	%	44.1	%	28.6	%	48.4	%	47.1	%	47.4	%	49.1	%	43.3	%
No	49.4		35.7		56.1	!	53.8		66.7		47.5		51.4		49.7		50.1		55.2	
Unsure	1.6		1.1	!	I	-	2.1	!	2.8	!	3.5	!	0.7	!	2.9	!	0.4	!	1.5	!

/ Greater than 99.5%

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-47. Standard errors of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents involving characteristics

Characteristics											Scho	ol								
of incident	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Number of Offenders		•											•		•			'		
One	0.7	%	1.2	%		%	2.1	%	2.5	%	1.8	%	2.0	%	1.8	%	1.6	%	2.0	%
More than one	0.6		1.1			!	1.5	!	2.0	!	1.5	!	1.7	!	1.6		1.5	!	1.5	!
Unsure	0.4		0.5	!	1	!	1.6	<u>.</u>	0.8	!	1.0	!	0.8	!	0.9	!	0.7	!	1.4	!
Gender of Offender(s)																				
Male	0.7	%	0.9	%	1.2	%	1.7	%	2.8	%	1.6	%	2.4	%	1.1	%	1.8	%	2.0	%
Female	0.5		0.5	!	1.2	!	1.1		2.0	!	1.0	!	2.3	!		!	1.6	!	1.5	!
Transgender	0.1	!		!	1	!		-		!	0.9	!		!		!	0.6	!		!
Unsure	0.3		0.4	!	1	!	0.7			!	0.6	!		!	0.8	!	0.8	!	1.0	!
Location of Incident																				
On campus	1.3	%	2.4	%	3.6	%!	3.6	%	5.1	%	3.5	%	3.9	%	3.1	%	2.6	%	3.6	%
Off campus	1.3		2.4		3.6		3.7		5.2		3.5		3.9		3.2		2.7		3.6	
Unsure	0.3	ļ.	0.4	!	1	!	1.0		1.9	!	1.0	!		!	0.4	!	1	!	0.5	!
Offender(s) Affiliated with Sci	hool																			
Yes	1.5	%	2.4	%	7.4	%!	4.2	%	5.2	%	3.4	%	4.6	%	3.2	%	3.4	%	4.3	%
None	1.4		2.1		8.7		3.9		5.1		3.0		4.7		2.9		3.1		4.4	
Unsure	1.0		1.7		5.1	!	3.1		2.1	!	2.2		1.7	!	2.0		2.5		3.3	
Relationship to Offender																				
Stranger	1.4	%	2.5	%	1	%!	4.0	%	4.4	%	3.0	%	4.2	%	3.1	%	3.3	%	4.4	%
Current or ex friend or roommate	1.0		1.7		6.1	!	3.0		4.1		2.2		3.9		2.1		1.7		2.0	!
Current or ex dating partner or spouse	0.7		1.1		11.1	!	1.9	!	3.1	!	1.3	!	2.6		2.1		1.9		1.8	!
Someone else known to victim	1.5		2.6		11.6		4.1		5.2		3.4		4.8		3.4		3.4		4.4	

Table E-47. Standard errors of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents involving characteristics

Characteristics											Scho	ol								
of incident	Average	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Offender Drug/Alcohol Use																				
Yes	1.5	%	2.4	%	11.2	%	4.2	%	5.0	%	3.4	%	4.8	%	3.4	%	3.3	%	4.4	%
No	1.2		1.7		10.4	!	3.5		5.2		2.7		4.6		2.6		2.7		3.8	
Unsure	1.2		2.0		6.2	!	3.7		4.1		2.7		3.3		2.8		2.6		3.7	
Victim Drug/Alcohol Use																				
Yes	1.5	%	2.5	%	11.9	%	4.2	%	4.6	%	3.5	%	4.8	%	3.5	%	3.4	%	4.4	%
No	1.5		2.5		11.9	!	4.2		4.8		3.5		4.8		3.5		3.4		4.4	
Unsure	0.4		0.4	!		!	1.2	!	2.0	!	1.2	!	0.6	!	1.1	!	0.4	!	1.0	!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-48. Reporting characteristics of undergraduate female rape incidents (percentage of rape incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

						School				
	Average	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Notified roommate, friends, o	r family									
Yes	63.5 %	70.4 %	69.7 %	60.5 %	59.4 %	66.4 %	56.2 %	60.6 %	67.0 %	56.6 %
No	35.9	29.6	30.3 !	39.5	40.6	33.6	43.8	35.5	33.0	43.4
Incident reported to any offici	ial									
Yes	14.6 %	13.2 %	45.2 %!	20.6 %	7.5 %!	15.9 %	17.8 %!	12.3 %	11.2 %	12.0 %!
No	84.6	86.8	54.8	79.4	90.0	84.1	82.2	83.3	88.8	88.0
Incident reported to any law 6	enforcement of	ficial								
Yes	6.8 %	0.7 %!	20.1 %!	12.9 %!	6.5 %!	4.8 %!	9.5 %!	4.9 %!	5.8 %!	3.9 %!
No	92.2	99.3	77.6	87.1	92.3	94.2	90.5	90.7	93.8	96.1
Incident reported to any school	ol official									
Yes	9.1 %	13.2 %	26.3 %!	11.8 %!	6.4 %!	9.8 %	6.7 %!	3.8 %!	7.7 %	7.8 %!
No	90.2	86.8	73.7	88.2	91.0	90.2	93.3	92.6	92.3	92.2
Victim reported to any official	l									
Yes	12.5 %	11.8 %	41.5 %!	16.0 %	7.5 %!	13.9 %	13.8 %!	9.4 %	10.3 %	12.0 %!
No	87.5	88.2	58.5	84.0 %	92.5	86.1	86.2	90.6	89.7	88.0
Victim reported to any law en	forcement offic	ial								
Yes	4.2 %	0.7 %!	16.3 %!	7.1 %!	6.5 %!	4.8 %!	6.0 %!	2.6 %!	2.2 %!	3.9 %!
No	95.8	99.3	83.7	92.9 %	93.5	95.2	94.0	97.4	97.8	96.1
Victim reported to any school	official									
Yes	7.0 %	11.8 %	6.2 %!	8.8 %!	6.4 %!	8.9 %	1.5 %!	3.3 %!	5.7 %!	7.8 %!
No	93.0	88.2	93.8	91.2	93.6	91.1	98.5	96.7	94.3	92.2
Were officials reported to hel	pful									
Yes	80.8 %	94.4 %	/ %!	70.8 %!	86.0 %!	82.5 %	/ %!	64.8 %	83.0 %	84.7 %!
No	19.2	5.6 !	!	29.2 !	14.0 !	17.5 !	!	35.2 !	17.0 !	15.3 !
Were law enforcement officia	ls reported to h	elpful								
Yes	53.0 %	/ %!	/ %!	43.8 %!	83.8 %!	/ %!	/ %!	38.0 %!	14.9 %!	/ %!
No	42.0	!	!	56.2 !	16.2 !	!	!	62.0 !	61.1 !	!
Were school officials reported	to helpful									
Yes	74.8 %	89.4 %	85.8 %!	61.2 %!	67.5 %!	90.5 %	/ %!	51.1 %!	73.9 %!	76.5 %!
No	25.2	10.6 !	14.2 !	38.8 !	32.5 !	9.5 !	!	48.9 !	26.1 !	23.5 !

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

/ Greater than 99.5%

Table E-49. Standard errors of undergraduate female rape incidents involving reporting characteristics

						School				
	Average	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Notified roommate, friends, o	r family									
Yes	1.9 %	3.9 %	10.6 %	5.9 %	5.3 %	3.9 %	6.0 %	3.9 %	4.2 %	7.0 %
No	1.9	3.9	10.6 !	5.9	5.3	3.9	6.0	3.8	4.2	7.0
Incident reported to any office	ial									
Yes	1.4 %	2.8 %	12.5 %!	4.9 %	3.1 %!	3.0 %	5.1 %!	2.6 %	2.8 %	4.5 %!
No	1.5	2.8	12.5	4.9	3.4	3.0	5.1	3.0	2.8	4.5
Incident reported to any law	enforcement of	ficial								
Yes	1.1 %	0.6 %!	10.5 %!	4.0 %!	2.9 %!	1.7 %!	4.1 %!	1.8 %!	2.2 %!	2.7 %!
No	1.1	0.6	10.6	4.0	3.1	1.9	4.1	2.4	2.2	2.7
Incident reported to any scho	ol official									
Yes	1.2 %	2.8 %	11.3 %!	3.9 %!	2.9 %!	2.4 %	3.2 %!	1.5 %!	2.3 %	3.7 %!
No	1.2	2.8	11.3	3.9	3.3	2.4	3.2	2.1	2.3	3.7
Victim reported to any officia	I									
Yes	1.3 %	2.7 %	12.6 %!	4.4 %	3.1 %!	2.8 %	4.6 %!	2.3 %	2.7 %	4.5 %!
No	1.3	2.7	12.6	4.4 %	3.1	2.8	4.6	2.3	2.7	4.5
Victim reported to any law en	forcement offic	ial								
Yes	0.8 %	0.6 %!	10.2 %!	3.0 %!	2.9 %!	1.7 %!	3.5 %!	1.2 %!	1.2 %!	2.7 %!
No	0.8	0.6	10.2	3.0 %	2.9	1.7	3.5	1.2	1.2	2.7
Victim reported to any school	official									
Yes	1.0 %	2.7 %	5.9 %!	3.4 %!	2.9 %!	2.3 %	1.4 %!	1.4 %!	2.1 %!	3.7 %!
No	1.0	2.7	5.9	3.4	2.9	2.3	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.7
Were officials reported to hel	pful									
Yes	4.5 %	5.0 %	%!	12.1 %!	13.1 %!	8.2 %	%!	11.1 %	9.2 %	13.8 %!
No	4.5	5.0 !	!	12.1 !	13.1 !	8.2 !	!	11.1 !	9.2 !	13.8 !
Were law enforcement officia	ls reported to h	elpful								
Yes	8.6 %	%!	%!	16.4 %!	15.1 %!	%!	%!	17.4 %!	13.5 %!	%!
No	8.6	!	!	16.4 !	15.1 !	!	!	17.4 !	20.0 !	!
Were school officials reported	to helpful									
Yes	6.1 %	6.6 %	13.9 %!	17.2 %!	20.3 %!	8.0 %	%!	19.4 %!	13.1 %!	20.2 %!
No	6.1	6.6 !	13.9 !	17.2 !	20.3 !	8.0 !	!	19.4 !	13.1 !	20.2 !

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-50. Reporting characteristics of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents (percentage of sexual battery incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

						School				
	Average	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Notified roommate, friends, o	or family									
Yes	67.9 %	68.4 %	65.6 %	61.8 %	63.7 %	73.9 %	63.8 %	72.1 %	71.1 %	71.4 %
No	31.6	30.5	34.4 !	38.2	34.4	26.1	35.4	27.4	28.4	28.6
Incident reported to any offic	ial									
Yes	5.3 %	2.6 %!	7.8 %!	7.8 %	8.0 %!	2.3 %!	7.9 %!	5.5 %!	5.7 %	1.3 %!
No	93.3	96.0	92.2	91.5	90.2	96.7	90.7	93.5	91.4	98.7
Incident reported to any law	enforcement of	ficial								
Yes	1.9 %	1.1 %!	7.8 %!	2.2 %!	3.2 %!	1.7 %!	4.2 %!	1.6 %!	1.9 %!	0.9 %!
No	96.9	97.5	92.2	97.0	94.9	97.3	94.3	97.4	96.6	99.1
Incident reported to any scho	ol official									
Yes	3.4 %	2.0 %!	7.8 %!	2.8 %!	8.0 %!	1.6 %!	6.1 %!	4.9 %!	3.7 %!	1.3 %!
No	95.8	96.6	92.2	97.2	90.2	97.9	92.5	94.6	94.9	98.7
Victim reported to any officia	I									
Yes	4.3 %	2.3 %!	7.8 %!	6.2 %!	8.0 %!	2.3 %!	7.1 %!	3.2 %!	4.4 %!	0.5 %!
No	95.7	97.7	92.2	93.8	92.0	97.7	92.9	96.8	95.6	99.5
Victim reported to any law en	forcement offic	ial								
Yes	1.1 %	%!	7.8 %!	0.7 %!	3.2 %!	1.7 %!	3.5 %!	1.6 %!	0.9 %!	%!
No	98.9	/	92.2	99.3	96.8	98.3	96.5	98.4	99.1	/
Victim reported to any school	official									
Yes	2.7 %	1.7 %!	7.8 %!	2.8 %!	8.0 %!	1.6 %!	5.3 %!	2.6 %!	2.4 %!	0.5 %!
No	97.3	98.3	92.2	97.2	92.0	98.4	94.7	97.4	97.6	99.5
Were officials reported to hel	pful									
Yes	78.8 %	/ %!	%!	90.2 %!	42.0 %!	45.1 %!	/ %!	48.5 %!	84.6 %!	64.4 %!
No	18.4	!	/ !	9.8 !	58.0 !	30.4 !	!	51.5 !	6.7 !	35.6 !
Were law enforcement officia	als reported to h	elpful								
Yes	69.4 %	71.5 %!	%!	/ %!	59.2 %!	24.9 %!	43.1 %!	62.4 %!	72.9 %!	/ %!
No	23.1 !	28.5 !	/!	!	40.8 !	41.6 !	56.9 !	37.6 !	!	!
Were school officials reported	d to helpful									
Yes	65.8 %	/ %!	%!	72.7 %!	18.2 %!	64.8 %!	/ %!	31.3 %!	86.4 %!	64.4 %!
No	29.9	!	/ !	27.3 !	81.8 !	!	!	68.7 !	!	35.6 !

/ Greater than 99.5%

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-51. Standard errors of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents involving reporting characteristics

								Schoo	ol								
	Average	1		2	3		4	5		6		7		8		9	
Notified roommate, friends, o	r family																
Yes	1.4 %		% 11	.0 %	4.1	% 4	.9 %	3.1	%	4.8	%	3.2	%	3.2	%	3.8	%
No	1.4	2.3	11	.0 !	4.1	4	.9	3.1		4.8		3.1		3.2		3.8	
Incident reported to any offici	ial																
Yes	0.7 %	0.8		.3 %!	2.2	% 3	.9 %!	1.0	%!	2.7	%!	1.6	%!	1.6	%	1.0	%!
No	0.8	1.0	7	.3	2.3	4	.1	1.2		2.9		1.7		1.9		1.0	
Incident reported to any law 6	enforcement o	official															
Yes	0.4 %	0.6	%! 7	.3 %!	1.3	%! 2	.2 %!	0.9	%!	2.1	%!	0.9	%!	0.9	%!	0.8	%!
No	0.5	0.9	7	.3	1.5	2	.5	1.1		2.3		1.1		1.2		0.8	
Incident reported to any scho	ol official																
Yes	0.6 %	0.7		.3 %!	1.4	%! 3	.9 %!	0.8	%!	2.2	%!	1.5	%!	1.4	%!	1.0	%!
No	0.6	1.0	7	.3	1.4	4	.1	0.9		2.4		1.6		1.6		1.0	
Victim reported to any official	l																
Yes	0.7 %	0.8	%! 7	.3 %!	2.0	%! 3	.9 %!	1.0	%!	2.6	%!	1.2	%!	1.4	%!	0.5	%!
No	0.7	0.8	7	.3	2.0	3	.9	1.0		2.6		1.2		1.4		0.5	
Victim reported to any law en	forcement of	ficial															
Yes	0.3 %		%! 7	.3 %!	0.7	%! 2	.2 %!	0.9	%!	2.0	%!	0.9	%!	0.6	%!		%!
No	0.3		7	.3	0.7	2	.2	0.9		2.0		0.9		0.6			
Victim reported to any school	official																
Yes	0.5 %	0.7	%! 7	.3 %!	1.4	%! 3	.9 %!	0.8	%!	2.1	%!	1.1	%!	1.1	%!	0.5	%!
No	0.5	0.7	7	.3	1.4	3	.9	0.8		2.1		1.1		1.1		0.5	
Were officials reported to hel	pful																
Yes	5.7 %		%!	%!	9.2	%! 25	.0 %!	22.3	%!		%!	15.0	%!	10.0	%!	31.5	%!
No	5.5		!	!	9.2	! 25	.0 !	21.8			!	15.0	-:	6.4	!	31.5	!
Were law enforcement officia	ls reported to	helpful															
Yes	9.3 %	23.0	%!	%!	'	%! 33	.4 %!	20.4	%!	27.3	%!	27.2	%!	22.3	%!		%!
No	8.0	! 23.0	!	!		! 33	.4 !	26.6	!	27.3	!	27.2	!		!		!
Were school officials reported	l to helpful																
Yes	8.2 %		%!	%!	22.7	%! <u>17</u>	.2 %!	25.0	%!		%!	14.4	%!	12.5	%!	31.5	%!
No	8.0		!	!	22.7	! 17	.2 !		!		!	14.4	!		!	31.5	!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-52. Percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents that were not reported to specific group, by reason and school, 2014-2015 academic year

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3	,	4		5		6		7		8		9	1
School administration																				
Didn't know how to contact	6.3	%	3.4	%!	13.5	%!	13.7	%!	2.3	%!	2.5	%!		%!	5.5	%!	6.0	%!	3.2	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	22.6		21.5		8.4	!	29.0		18.3		30.7		11.2	!	25.3		19.6		16.8	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	20.7		26.9		16.7	!	27.3		18.4		21.1		8.9	!	19.6		15.4		24.3	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	67.2		77.1		40.8	!	66.2		69.7		67.1		56.1		71.9		66.2		56.3	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	44.8		49.8		12.7	!	43.7		43.8		47.2		32.2		46.2		50.2		29.3	
Worried someone might get back at you	28.0		25.6		12.7	!	25.6		26.4		41.5		19.3		34.9		28.2		19.7	!
Campus police																				
Didn't know how to contact	6.1	%	2.9	%!	12.6	%!	11.2	%!	2.2	%!	3.5	%!		%!	3.4	%!	8.2	%	3.1	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	20.5		17.9		4.1	!	27.9		16.4		27.7		8.5	!	22.3		18.5		16.3	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	20.7		19.4		11.9	!	24.6		20.7		20.0		13.8	!	20.7		21.9		13.3	!
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	67.2		74.8		42.0	!	65.9		69.3		69.0		59.7		69.3		66.9		60.0	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	46.4		42.4		8.2	!	52.5		44.2		47.4		35.3		49.5		51.3		27.6	
Worried someone might get back at you	28.6		25.2		8.2	!	24.5		28.0		42.4		23.6		37.5		29.1		18.9	!

Table E-52. Percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents that were not reported to specific group, by reason and school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School crisis center or helpline)																			
Didn't know how to contact	8.5	%	4.4	%!	12.6	%!	19.3	%	3.2	%!	4.1	%!	3.2	%!	4.2	%!	8.8	%	3.2	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	14.1		12.8		4.1	!	18.0		10.0	!	18.3		5.6	!	23.2		9.4		11.8	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	14.4		11.1		15.7	!	18.1		15.3		13.6		3.8	!	20.9		11.3		13.2	!
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	65.1		73.9		42.0	!	62.3		69.8		64.1		58.4		69.6		63.2		62.7	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	38.8		37.6		8.2	!	40.1		41.5		38.9		26.3		46.6		41.7		21.4	
Worried someone might get back at you	24.3		16.6		11.9	!	22.3		23.6		34.7		17.6		32.0		26.6		15.2	!
Crisis center or helpline not at	school																			
Didn't know how to contact	8.3	%	4.4	%!	10.9	%!	17.9	%	5.3	%!	5.0	%!	1.8	%!	3.7	%!	9.1	%	3.3	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	12.5		8.8		10.4	!	15.9	!	10.1	!	13.9		7.0	!	17.4		10.3		13.8	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	11.8		6.8	!	16.0	!	14.5	!	11.8	!	9.4		8.4	!	18.0		9.8		12.1	!
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	67.6		73.2		48.0	!	65.9		71.0		65.3		66.1		66.0		69.4		60.9	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	39.4		33.4		15.9	!	41.3		39.2		36.2		30.3		43.2		47.5		19.0	!
Worried someone might get back at you	24.6		14.6		15.9	!	24.1		26.0		33.0		20.2		32.2		25.8		17.6	!

Table E-52. Percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents that were not reported to specific group, by reason and school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Local police not at school																				
Didn't know how to contact	5.6	%	2.3	%!	24.2	%!	9.3	%!	1.2	%!	4.4	%!	6.4	%!	1.8	%!	7.3	%!	3.1	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	21.4		13.3		30.0	!	31.1		18.4		22.2		8.5	-:-	20.0		21.7		17.1	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	22.8		14.6		14.3	!	35.6		20.7		17.3		13.6	!	22.6		22.7		17.6	!
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	71.4		75.4		70.0		70.5		74.2		70.0		64.6		68.9		73.1		67.6	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	48.3		39.2		25.0	!	55.2		44.3		43.5		35.0		49.7		57.9		27.6	
Worried someone might get back at you	31.3		23.3		24.9	!	32.3		33.0		40.3		31.5		36.1		31.2		19.3	!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-53. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents that were not reported to specific groups, by reason and school

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School administration																				
Didn't know how to contact	1.1	%	1.5	%!	7.4	%!	4.2	%!	1.6	%!	1.3	%!		%!	1.8	%!	2.1	%!	3.0	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	1.7		3.6		5.8	!	5.6		4.3		3.8		3.6	!	3.6		3.4		5.9	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	1.6		4.0		7.9	!	5.4		4.2		3.4		3.7	!	3.2		3.0		6.5	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	1.9		4.0		12.0	!	5.8		5.0		4.0		6.1		3.6		4.3		7.3	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	2.0		4.6		7.0	!	6.1		5.4		4.2		5.6		4.0		4.5		6.5	
Worried someone might get back at you	1.8		3.9		7.0	!	5.3		4.7		4.1		4.7		3.8		4.0		5.7	!
Campus police																				
Didn't know how to contact	1.1	%	1.4	%!	7.0	%!	3.9	%!	1.5	%!	1.5	%!		%!	1.4	%!	2.3	%	3.0	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	1.6		3.3		4.0	!	5.5		4.2		3.8		3.2	!	3.4		3.3		5.7	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	1.7		3.5		6.6	!	5.3		4.4		3.3		4.2	!	3.3		3.7		5.5	!
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	1.9		3.9		11.7	!	5.8		5.1		3.9		6.1		3.7		4.2		7.2	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	2.0		4.3		5.6	!	6.1		5.5		4.2		5.8		4.0		4.4		6.3	
Worried someone might get back at you	1.8		3.7		5.6	!	5.3		4.8		4.2		5.1		3.9		4.0		5.6	!

Table E-53. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents that were not reported to specific groups, by reason and school (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School crisis center or helpline)																			
Didn't know how to contact	1.3	%	1.8	%!	7.0	%!	4.8	%	1.8	%!	1.6	%!	2.1	%!	1.6	%!	2.4	%	3.0	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	1.4		2.9		4.0	!	4.7		3.8	!	3.3		2.6	!	3.5		2.6		5.4	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	1.4		2.9		7.5	!	4.7		4.1		2.9		2.6	!	3.4		2.7		5.5	!
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	2.0		4.2		11.7	!	5.9		5.0		4.1		6.1		3.7		4.4		7.3	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	2.0		4.4		5.6	!	5.9		5.4		4.1		5.3		4.1		4.4		5.8	
Worried someone might get back at you	1.7		3.3		6.6	!	5.0		4.5		4.0		4.5		3.8		3.9		5.1	!
Crisis center or helpline not at	school																			
Didn't know how to contact	1.3	%	1.8	%!	7.4	%!	4.8	%	2.3	%!	1.8	%!	1.7	%!	1.5	%!	2.5	%	3.1	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	1.4		2.3		7.1	!	4.6	!	3.8	!	3.0		3.3	!	3.1		2.8		5.7	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	1.3		2.3	!	8.6	!	4.4	!	3.5	!	2.4		3.9	!	3.2		2.7		5.6	!
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	1.9		4.0		13.2	!	6.0		5.0		4.1		6.1		3.9		4.1		7.4	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	2.0		4.1		8.6	!	6.2		5.3		4.1		5.9		4.1		4.5	_	5.5	!
Worried someone might get back at you	1.7		3.0		8.6	!	5.4		4.8		4.0		5.1		3.8		4.0		5.5	!

Table E-53. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents that were not reported to specific groups, by reason and school (continued)

											Scho	ool							
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7	8		9	
Local police not at school																			
Didn't know how to contact	1.0	%	1.2	%!	13.0	%!	3.6	%!	1.1	%!	1.7	%!	3.0 %	6! 1.	0 %!	2.4	%!	3.0	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	1.7		2.9		13.1	!	5.7		4.4		3.5		3.2 !	3.	3	3.8		5.9	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	1.8		3.3		7.8	!	5.9		4.4		3.1		4.4 !	3.	4	4.1		5.8	!
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	1.8		3.9		11.1		5.6		4.8		3.8		5.9	3.	7	3.8		7.0	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	2.0		4.2		10.1	!	6.1		5.5		4.1		5.8	4.	0	4.4		6.3	
Worried someone might get back at you	1.9		3.7		10.1	!	5.8		5.1		4.1		5.6	3.	8	4.1		5.6	!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-54. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents that were not reported to specific groups, by reason and school, 2014-2015 academic year

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School administration		<u> </u>	I								ı					ı			I	
Didn't know how to contact	3.3	%	1.0	%!	7.0	%!	3.7	%!	2.9	%!	0.8	%!	1.7	%!	5.4	%	4.9	%!	3.4	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	10.3		6.1		11.4	!	9.8		9.2	!	13.2		8.0	!	16.2		10.7		14.2	
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	14.6		13.1		18.2	-	14.3		15.6		20.3		8.9	!	20.0		11.2		19.8	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	79.3		85.8		73.7		74.9		75.9		84.5		84.7		78.9		78.5		73.4	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	20.3		14.3		15.9	!	22.9		23.2		27.7		13.9		25.2		18.1		24.5	
Worried someone might get back at you	13.5		11.2		26.3	!	8.6		17.9		21.4		9.8		21.3		13.5		14.3	
Campus police																				
Didn't know how to contact	2.6	%	0.2	%!	7.0	%!	2.2	%!	2.8	%!	1.4	%!	0.8	%!	4.4	%!	4.7	%!	2.8	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	8.3		3.4		11.4	!	8.9		10.2	!	12.5		9.0	!	11.5		8.2		9.7	
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	13.5		8.1		18.2	!	17.3		13.2		21.9		8.8	!	11.6		13.0		15.6	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	79.7		86.1		73.7		75.6		75.5		84.1		87.7		82.5		76.9		74.6	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	19.1		10.0		11.5	!	22.9		23.5		27.2		12.4		26.3		17.9		21.9	
Worried someone might get back at you	12.9		8.9		25.0	!	8.9		20.2		18.8		9.8		20.4		13.4		15.3	

Table E-54. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents that were not reported to specific groups, by reason and school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School crisis center or helpline)																			
Didn't know how to contact	2.9	%	0.3	%!	2.8	%!	3.6	%!	0.9	%!	0.7	%!	1.7	%!	5.5	%	4.6	%!	3.7	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	5.0		2.2	!	2.8	!	5.6	!	1.3	!	4.1	!	2.6	!	7.7		7.5	!	4.8	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	7.5		4.7		9.6	!	10.3		7.7	!	8.8		3.5	!	11.4		4.8	!	10.7	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	79.0		86.8		73.7		73.4		68.9		83.7		84.3		82.8		78.1		73.7	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	14.4		8.0		11.5	!	16.7		14.2		19.0		6.4	!	20.2		15.0		16.9	
Worried someone might get back at you	8.6		5.9		21.9	!	4.8	!	11.4		11.9		5.2	!	16.9		9.2		10.4	
Crisis center or helpline not at	school																			
Didn't know how to contact	3.0	%	0.5	%!	8.5	%!	3.7	%!	0.9	%!	0.7	%!	0.8	%!	6.0	%	4.4	%!	3.7	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	5.1		1.4	!	8.5	!	5.8	!	2.7	!	4.7		5.3	!	6.7		8.0		3.6	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	6.9		3.9		9.6	!	9.0		8.2	!	8.2		3.4	!	9.6		5.3		9.2	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	78.8		86.4		73.7		71.9		70.5		83.1		85.6		83.3		78.8		73.2	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	13.6		7.7		11.5	!	14.9		14.5		18.4		6.2	!	20.7		13.8		16.3	
Worried someone might get back at you	8.5		6.2		27.7	!	5.6	!	10.4		11.3		5.9	!	15.4		8.3		9.5	

Table E-54. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents that were not reported to specific groups, by reason and school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Local police not at school					•						•				•				•	
Didn't know how to contact	1.9	%	0.6	%!	7.0	%!	3.0	%!	0.9	%!	1.8	%!	0.8	%!	2.8	%!	1.7	%!	2.8	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	8.0		2.7		11.4	!	9.8		7.3	!	10.3		5.8	!	9.4		10.9		5.4	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	12.9		8.9		18.2	!	16.8		9.8	!	19.8		11.3		12.6		11.9		11.8	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	80.3		86.8		73.7		74.0		69.9		85.4		85.9		83.6		81.1		76.4	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	19.2		11.7		14.6	!	21.7		18.3		27.3		14.1		26.9		19.2		19.1	
Worried someone might get back at you	12.9		8.3		30.8	!	11.0		16.4		17.4		8.5	!	19.0		14.7		12.1	

! = Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-55. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents that were not reported to specific groups, by reason and school

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School administration																				
Didn't know how to contact	0.6	%	0.5	%!	5.0	%!	1.6	%!	2.1	%!	0.7	%!	1.1	%!	1.5	%	1.8	%!	1.5	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	0.9		1.1		6.5	!	2.5		3.2	!	2.4		2.6	!	2.6		2.2		3.0	
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	1.0		1.7		8.6	!	2.9		3.8		2.9		2.7	!	2.8		2.1		3.6	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	1.2		1.8		12.4		3.7		4.5		2.6		3.4		2.8		2.9		4.0	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	1.2		1.8		7.3	!	3.5		4.5		3.2		3.0		3.0		2.7		3.7	
Worried someone might get back at you	1.0		1.6		10.8	!	2.4		4.1		2.9		2.6		2.9		2.5		3.1	
Campus police																				
Didn't know how to contact	0.6	%	0.2	%!	5.0	%!	1.2	%!	2.0	%!	0.9	%!	0.8	%!	1.4	%!	2.0	%!	1.4	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	0.8		0.8		6.5	!	2.4		3.2	!	2.4		2.9	!	2.3		1.8		2.5	
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	1.0		1.4		8.6	!	3.1		3.5		2.9		2.7	!	2.3		2.3		3.1	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	1.2		1.8		12.4		3.6		4.9		2.6		3.1		2.7		2.9		4.0	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	1.2		1.4		6.1	!	3.5		4.4		3.1		2.9		3.1		2.6		3.6	
Worried someone might get back at you	1.0		1.4		10.7	!	2.3		4.2		2.7		2.6		2.8		2.5		3.2	

Table E-55. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents that were not reported to specific groups, by reason and school

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School crisis center or helpline	:																			
Didn't know how to contact	0.6	%	0.2	%!	2.7	%!	1.6	%!	0.9	%!	0.7	%!	1.1	%!	1.5	%	1.8	%!	1.6	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	0.7		0.7	!	2.7	!	1.9	!	1.3	!	1.5	!	1.8	!	1.9		2.2	!	1.7	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	0.8		1.1		6.6	!	2.5		2.8	!	2.0		2.0	!	2.3		1.5	!	2.7	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	1.3		1.7		12.4		3.7		5.1		2.6		3.4		2.6		2.8		4.0	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	1.0		1.3		6.1	!	3.1		3.6		2.7		2.2	!	2.8		2.4		3.2	
Worried someone might get back at you	0.8		1.2		10.3	!	1.8	!	3.1		2.2		2.0	!	2.6		2.0		2.8	
Crisis center or helpline not at	school																			
Didn't know how to contact	0.6	%	0.3	%!	6.2	%!	1.6	%!	0.8	%!	0.7	%!	0.8	%!	1.6	%	1.8	%!	1.6	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	0.7		0.5	!	6.2	!	2.0	!	2.0	!	1.5	!	2.5		1.8		2.3		1.6	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	0.8		1.0		6.6	!	2.4		3.0	!	2.0		1.9	!	2.1		1.5		2.5	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	1.3		1.7		12.4		3.8		5.0		2.6		3.2		2.6		2.8		4.0	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	1.0		1.3		6.1	!	3.0		3.7		2.7		2.1	!	2.8		2.4		3.2	
Worried someone might get back at you	0.8		1.2		11.1	!	1.9	!	3.0		2.2		2.1	!	2.5		1.9		2.7	

Table E-55. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents that were not reported to specific groups, by reason and school

		1																		$\overline{}$
											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Local police not at school																				
Didn't know how to contact	0.4	%	0.4	%!	5.0	%!	1.5	%!	0.9	%!	0.9	%!	0.8	%!	1.1	%!	0.8	%!	1.3	%!
Concerned would not keep confidential	0.9		0.7		6.5	!	2.5		2.6	!	2.2		2.3	!	2.1		2.5		1.8 !	!
Concerned would be treated poorly or get ineffective response	1.0		1.5		8.6	!	3.1		3.1	!	2.8		3.0		2.4		2.2		2.9	
Did not want assistance or action taken/not serious enough to report	1.2		1.7		12.4		3.7		5.1		2.5		3.3		2.6		2.6		3.8	
Others might think you were partly at fault/might get in trouble	1.2		1.6		7.2	!	3.4		3.9		3.1		3.1		3.1		2.7		3.4	
Worried someone might get back at you	1.0		1.3		11.4	!	2.6		3.7		2.6		2.5	!	2.7		2.6		2.9	

! = Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

Table E-56. Percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School administration																				
One or more additional reasons endorsed	60.4	%	62.2	%	30.4	%!	68.4	%	49.3	%	59.7	%	46.9	%	65.8	%	61.8	%	35.7	%
Didn't know how to contact	6.2		1.9	!		!	13.6	!	3.3	ļ.	1.2	ļ.		!	7.7	!	6.7	ļ.		!
Concerned would not keep confidential	23.7		18.4		9.9	!	32.2		19.7		24.6		9.3	!	24.8		25.2		12.3	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	20.2		22.6		20.5	!	27.2		18.3		18.4			!	16.8		20.2		14.5	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	51.6		52.4		10.7	!	54.3		45.8		51.8		37.0		53.6		58.6		26.4	!
Worried someone may get back at you	29.4		28.1		10.7	!	24.8		27.4		41.4		24.6	!	36.1		31.3		13.4	!
Campus police																				
One or more additional reasons endorsed	62.5	%	61.5	%	27.6	%!	72.6	%	51.5	%	60.3	%	55.4	%	67.2	%	65.4	%	33.3	%
Didn't know how to contact	5.1		2.7	!	8.9	!	7.4	!	3.2	!	2.6	!		!	3.7	!	8.6	!		!
Concerned would not keep confidential	21.0		15.2			!	30.4		18.4	!	24.6		6.6	!	20.3		22.1		11.1	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	20.4		15.5		18.7	!	25.6		20.2		18.6		9.5	!	17.2		26.5		3.2	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	53.9		49.7		9.7	!	62.9		46.4		50.5		40.8		55.3		61.2		28.9	!
Worried someone may get back at you	29.1		26.5		9.7	!	23.4	!	27.7		41.2		30.4		36.2		29.7		21.8	!

Table E-56. Percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School crisis center or helpline	•																			
One or more additional reasons endorsed	55.8	%	55.7	%	27.6	%!	59.6	%	47.2	%	53.7	%	50.8	%	64.2	%	60.2	%	26.0	%!
Didn't know how to contact	7.9		3.0	!	8.9	!	16.9	!	4.5	!	1.3	!	5.4	!	4.7	!	10.7	!		!
Concerned would not keep confidential	15.3		12.8			!	21.4	!	8.0	!	14.9		7.1	!	24.3		13.9		3.1	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	15.5		11.0	!	27.6	!	16.7	!	14.4	!	13.2	!		!	24.1		17.1		5.3	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	47.0		43.5		9.7	!	50.0		44.1		43.5		33.6		54.4		54.1		21.1	!
Worried someone may get back at you	26.1		18.3		18.6	!	23.9	!	21.9		38.4		21.3	!	31.9		30.8		12.1	!
Crisis center or helpline not at	school																			
One or more additional reasons endorsed	54.9	%	52.9	%	21.9	%!	58.2	%	48.1	%	52.2	%	57.5	%	64.6	%	59.0	%	21.9	%!
Didn't know how to contact	7.4		1.9	!		!	14.8	!	7.5	!	4.0	!	2.7	!	4.2	!	10.0	!		!
Concerned would not keep confidential	13.1		8.5	!		!	19.2	!	8.0	!	12.9	!	10.6	!	19.6		12.6		3.3	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	13.5		9.3	!	21.9	!	14.6	!	12.5	!	14.4		6.2	!	20.7		14.1		3.3	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	46.9		40.1		11.4	!	48.2		45.1		43.0		33.9		54.0		56.8		19.4	!
Worried someone may get back at you	25.1		17.8		11.4	!	24.6	!	23.3		36.5		21.7	!	32.4		26.5		13.3	

Table E-56. Percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

						School				
	Average	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Local police not at school										

											Scho	ool								
	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
One or more additional reasons endorsed	66.3	%	61.2	%	61.1	%!	76.5	%	56.3	%	62.1	%	60.9	%	70.4	%	70.2	%	39.0	%
Didn't know how to contact	6.3		1.8		27.0	!	9.0	!	1.6	!	2.5	!	7.6	!	2.7		10.0	!	4.6	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	21.6		11.4		28.3	!	32.9		20.0		18.8		8.5	!	20.4		22.9		15.7	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	23.8		13.7		13.4	!	34.8		20.4		17.3		12.7	!	22.7		28.2		16.4	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	56.6		45.4		21.2	!	67.3		43.2		49.8		39.1		59.5		68.3		36.9	
Worried someone may get back at you	32.4		27.0		21.0	!	32.9		32.6		43.2		33.7		36.4		31.8		22.2	!

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-57. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7	,	8		9	
School administration					•				•				•		•					
One or more additional reasons endorsed	2.4	%	5.1	%	15.3	%!	6.9	%	6.5	%	5.0	%	8.0	%	4.6	%	5.5	%	8.9	%
Didn't know how to contact	1.3		1.2	!		!	5.1	!	2.2	!	1.1	!		!	2.5	!	2.6	!		!
Concerned would not keep confidential	2.1		3.7		9.4	!	7.0		5.4		4.3		4.3	!	4.2		4.6		5.8	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	2.0		4.1		13.2	!	6.7		4.9		3.9			!	3.6		4.2		6.6	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	2.4		5.1		10.1	!	7.5		6.5		5.1		7.6		4.8		5.5		8.1	!
Worried someone may get back at you	2.2		4.5		10.1	ļ.	6.4		5.7		5.0		6.6	į.	4.6		5.1		6.3	!
Campus police																				
One or more additional reasons endorsed	2.3	%	5.0	%	14.0	%!	6.7	%	6.6	%	4.9	%	7.8	%	4.6	%	5.3	%	8.1	%
Didn't know how to contact	1.2		1.4	!	8.5	!	4.0	!	2.2	!	1.6	!		!	1.7	!	2.9	!	-	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	2.0		3.4			!	7.0		5.3	!	4.3		3.6	!	4.0		4.3		5.2	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	2.0		3.6		12.1	!	6.6		5.2		3.8		4.4	!	3.7		4.8		3.1	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	2.4		5.0		9.2	!	7.3		6.6		5.0		7.6		4.8		5.3		7.8	!
Worried someone may get back at you	2.1		4.4		9.2	!	6.4	!	5.7		4.9		7.0		4.7		4.9		7.0	!

Table E-57. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School crisis center or helpline	9																			
One or more additional reasons endorsed	2.5	%	5.3	%	14.0	%!	7.5	%	6.4	%	5.3	%	7.9	%	4.7	%	5.6	%	7.2	%!
Didn't know how to contact	1.5		1.6		8.5	į.	5.8	!	2.5	į	1.2	!	3.6	!	2.0		3.3	!		!
Concerned would not keep confidential	1.8		3.2			!	6.3	!	4.0	!	3.7		3.8	!	4.2		3.9		3.0	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	1.8		3.3	!	14.0	!	5.7	!	4.4	!	3.5	!		!	4.2		4.0		3.6	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	2.5		5.2		9.2	!	7.7		6.4		5.2		7.3		4.9		5.7		6.7	!
Worried someone may get back at you	2.2		3.9		12.0	!	6.5	!	5.1		5.1		6.2	!	4.6		5.2		5.1	!
Crisis center or helpline not at	school																			
One or more additional reasons endorsed	2.5	%	5.1	%	14.0	%!	7.7	%	6.4	%	5.2	%	7.9	%	4.9	%	5.6	%	7.0	%!
Didn't know how to contact	1.4		1.2	!	-	!	5.5	!	3.2	!	2.0	!	2.6	!	2.0		3.1	!		!
Concerned would not keep confidential	1.7		2.6	!		!	6.1	!	4.0	ļ.	3.6	!	4.9	!	4.1		3.6		3.1	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	1.7		3.0	!	14.0	!	5.5	!	4.1	!	3.6		4.1	!	4.2		3.8		3.1	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	2.5		4.9		10.8	!	7.7		6.3		5.2		7.3		5.1		5.6		6.7	!
Worried someone may get back at you	2.1		3.8		10.8	!	6.7	!	5.2		5.0		6.3	!	4.8		4.9		5.6	!

Table E-57. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9)
Local police not at school																				
One or more additional reasons endorsed	2.2	%	4.9	%	15.5	%!	6.2	%	6.3	%	4.8	%	7.5	%	4.4	%	4.7	%	8.2	%
Didn't know how to contact	1.3		1.2	!	16.9		4.2	!	1.5	!	1.6	!	4.0	!	1.4	!	3.2	!	4.4	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	2.1		3.0		17.0	!	6.9		5.2		3.9		3.9	!	4.0		4.6		6.4	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	2.2		3.6		9.1	!	7.0		5.0		3.7		5.1	!	4.1		5.2		6.3	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	2.3		5.0		11.4	!	6.9		6.3		4.9		7.3		4.8		4.8		8.1	
Worried someone may get back at you	2.2		4.4		11.4	!	6.9		5.9		4.9		7.0		4.7		4.9		7.1	!

! = Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-58. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School administration																				
One or more additional reasons endorsed	33.4	%	21.4	%	47.9	%!	40.6	%	37.5	%	40.9	%	24.7	%	37.0	%	30.8	%	45.8	%
Didn't know how to contact	3.4		1.2	!	9.5	!	3.9	!	3.9	!	0.9	!	0.9	!	5.1	!	5.6	!	2.7	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	9.8		4.8		15.5	!	8.5	!	7.7	!	13.2		8.6	!	14.3		11.7		17.0	
Concerned would be treated poorly	13.3		9.7		24.7	!	15.4		15.0	!	20.1		8.6	!	14.0		10.0		22.2	1
Others might think you were partly at fault	22.0		12.7		21.6	!	28.9		27.5		26.7		13.7		27.4		19.3		28.7	
Worried someone may get back at you	13.6		10.8		35.7	!	9.7	!	18.0		21.4		9.7	!	19.3		13.2		17.2	1
Campus police																				
One or more additional reasons endorsed	30.6	%	17.7	%	47.9	%!	35.9	%	44.1	%	41.8	%	24.8	%	38.6	%	27.7	%	32.0	%
Didn't know how to contact	2.4		0.2	!	9.5	!	0.9	!	3.7	!	1.6	!	0.9	!	4.1	!	5.4	!	1.8	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	7.6		3.1		15.5	!	6.4	!	8.7	!	12.6		9.4	!	11.4		8.6		9.7	1
Concerned would be treated poorly	13.3		6.4		24.7	!	19.3		14.5	!	22.1		9.2	!	9.7		12.1		16.6	
Others might think you were partly at fault	20.4		9.9		15.7	!	26.7		28.2		26.2		11.7		28.5		17.7		25.1	
Worried someone may get back at you	13.2		8.6		33.9	!	8.3	!	23.9		19.3		10.4		21.8		13.6		15.0	

Table E-58. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School crisis center or helpline	:				•															
One or more additional reasons endorsed	24.6	%	14.8	%	42.0	%!	33.4	%	31.9	%	23.6	%	15.0	%	30.6	%	22.0	%	27.2	%
Didn't know how to contact	3.0		0.3	!	3.7	!	3.9	ļ.	1.3	!	0.9	!	1.0	ļ.	5.4		5.1	!	3.0	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	5.0		2.6	!	3.7	!	3.9	!		!	4.0	!	3.1	!	7.5		9.6		4.2	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	7.0		4.6		13.0	!	10.3		7.4	!	7.4	!	3.2	!	9.0		4.1	!	12.2	
Others might think you were partly at fault	15.8		8.4		15.7	!	20.8		18.7		17.4		6.8	!	21.2		15.3		20.6	
Worried someone may get back at you	8.8		6.4		29.8	!	4.8	!	14.2	!	11.2		6.2	!	16.9		8.9		9.7	!
Crisis center or helpline not at	school																			
One or more additional reasons endorsed	23.6	%	14.5	%	49.8	%!	28.9	%	33.3	%	23.0	%	17.4	%	29.0	%	23.0	%	24.1	%
Didn't know how to contact	3.1		0.6	!	11.6	!	4.1	!	1.2	!	0.9	!	0.9	!	6.0	!	4.8	!	3.0	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	5.2		1.6	!	11.6	!	4.0	!	3.8	!	4.8	!	6.2	!	6.2	!	10.1		2.6	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	6.3		3.6		13.0	!	8.8	!	9.7	!	6.7	!	3.1	!	7.2		4.7	!	10.3	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	15.1		8.3		15.7	!	18.7		20.6		16.8		6.5	!	21.6		14.3		20.0	
Worried someone may get back at you	9.1		6.8		37.6	!	6.0	!	12.5	!	10.5		6.9	!	16.5		8.9		8.7	!

Table E-58. Percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Local police not at school																				
One or more additional reasons endorsed	30.8	%	20.8	%	53.9	%!	36.1	%	36.8	%	41.0	%	23.6	%	36.7	%	28.3	%	31.7	%
Didn't know how to contact	1.7		0.7	!	9.5	!	2.0	!	1.3	!	2.1	!	0.9	!	2.8	!	2.1	!	0.8	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	7.0		3.1		15.5	!	7.5	!	5.3	!	10.6		5.9	!	7.3		10.2		4.8	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	12.6		7.7		24.7	!	19.1		8.9	!	20.0		9.4	!	9.3		10.9		13.2	
Others might think you were partly at fault	20.9		12.5		19.8	!	27.4		21.1		26.7		14.7		28.3		18.8		22.8	
Worried someone may get back at you	13.3		9.1		41.7	!	10.4		17.7		18.1		8.0	!	20.0		15.2		11.7	

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-59. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School administration									ı											
One or more additional reasons endorsed	1.6	%	2.2	%	13.7	%!	4.8	%	5.8	%	3.7	%	4.4	%	3.8	%	3.8	%	5.1	%
Didn't know how to contact	0.7		0.5	!	6.6	!	1.9	!	2.8	!	0.8	!	0.9	!	1.7	!	2.2	!	1.5	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	1.0		1.1		8.6	!	2.7	!	3.4	!	2.6		2.9	!	2.7		2.6		3.8	
Concerned would be treated poorly	1.1		1.6		11.1	!	3.5		4.3	!	3.1		2.9	!	2.7		2.3		4.4	
Others might think you were partly at fault	1.4		1.8		9.6	!	4.4		5.4		3.4		3.3		3.5		3.1		4.6	
Worried someone may get back at you	1.1		1.6		13.3	!	2.9	!	4.7		3.1		2.9	!	3.1		2.8		3.9	
Campus police																				
One or more additional reasons endorsed	1.5	%	2.0	%	13.7	%!	4.6	%	5.8	%	3.8	%	4.4	%	3.8	%	3.6	%	4.7	%
Didn't know how to contact	0.6		0.2	!	6.6	!	0.9	!	2.7	!	1.0	!	0.9	!	1.5	!	2.5	!	1.2	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	0.8		0.8		8.6	!	2.3	!	3.4	!	2.6		3.2	!	2.4		2.2		2.8	
Concerned would be treated poorly	1.1		1.3		11.1	!	3.8		4.2	!	3.2		2.9	!	2.3		2.5		3.7	
Others might think you were partly at fault	1.3		1.5		8.1	!	4.2		5.3		3.4		3.0		3.5		2.9		4.3	
Worried someone may get back at you	1.1		1.5		13.3	!	2.6	!	5.1		3.0		2.9		3.2		2.8		3.5	

Table E-59. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
School crisis center or helpline	е																			
One or more additional reasons endorsed	1.5	%	1.9	%	13.6	%!	4.6	%	5.6	%	3.2	%	3.7	%	3.5	%	3.5	%	4.5	%
Didn't know how to contact	0.7		0.3		3.7		1.9	!	1.2	!	0.8		0.9	!	1.7	!	2.1	!	1.7	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	0.8		0.8	!	3.7	į.	1.9	!		!	1.6	!	2.2	!	2.0		2.8		1.8	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	0.9		1.1		8.7	!	2.9		3.1	ļ.	2.0	!	2.2	!	2.2		1.5	ļ.	3.3	
Others might think you were partly at fault	1.2		1.4		8.1	!	3.9		4.7		2.8		2.5	!	3.1		2.7		4.0	
Worried someone may get back at you	0.9		1.3		12.9	!	2.1	!	4.1	!	2.4		2.4	!	2.9		2.2		2.9	!
Crisis center or helpline not at	t school																			
One or more additional reasons endorsed	1.4	%	1.9	%	13.7	%!	4.5	%	5.7	%	3.2	%	4.0	%	3.5	%	3.5	%	4.3	%
Didn't know how to contact	0.7		0.4	!	8.2	!	2.0	!	1.2		0.8		0.9	!	1.7		2.1	!	1.7	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	0.8		0.6	!	8.2	!	2.0	!	2.8	!	1.7	!	2.9	!	1.8	!	2.9		1.4	!
Concerned would be treated poorly	0.8		1.0		8.7	!	2.8	!	3.8	!	1.9	!	2.1	!	1.9		1.6	!	3.1	!
Others might think you were partly at fault	1.2		1.4		8.1	!	3.9		5.0		2.8		2.3	!	3.1		2.7		4.0	
Worried someone may get back at you	0.9		1.3		13.5	!	2.4	!	3.9	!	2.3		2.5	!	2.8		2.2		2.7	!

Table E-59. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents for which victims who "did not need assistance, did not think the incident was serious enough to report, or did not want any action taken" endorsed additional reasons, by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

											Scho	ool								
	Avera	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
Local police not at school																				
One or more additional reasons endorsed	1.5	%	2.2	%	13.6	%!	4.7	%	5.7	%	3.7	%	4.3	%	3.7	%	3.6	%	4.6	%
Didn't know how to contact	0.4		0.4	!	6.6	!	1.4	!	1.2	!	1.1	!	0.9	!	1.2	!	1.0	!	0.8	!
Concerned would not keep confidential	0.9		0.8		8.6	!	2.5	!	2.5	!	2.4		2.6	!	1.9		2.8		1.9	į
Concerned would be treated poorly	1.1		1.4		11.1	!	3.8		3.4	!	3.0		3.0	!	2.3		2.4		3.4	
Others might think you were partly at fault	1.3		1.7		9.4	ļ.	4.3		4.9		3.3		3.4		3.5		2.9		4.1	
Worried someone may get back at you	1.1		1.5		13.7	!	3.0		4.5		2.9		2.7	!	3.0		2.9		3.0	

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-60. Victim impact characteristics of undergraduate female rape incidents (percentage of rape incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Victim Impact											Sch	ool								
Characteristics	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7	,	8		g	,
How upsetting was the in	ncident		•		•		•						•				•		•	
Very upsetting	34.0	%	31.9	%	67.0	%	32.5	%	26.9	%	38.5	%	28.7	%	44.2	%	31.8	%	25.9	%
Upsetting	45.0		43.5		18.1	!	51.6		50.4		35.7		56.1		33.5		46.0		53.1	
Not very upsetting	18.0		20.9		11.2	!	12.9	!	17.7		24.0		15.2	!	19.6		18.5		21.0	!
Not at all upsetting	1.8	!	2.1	!		!	3.0	!	2.5	!	1.8	!		!		!	2.2	!		!
How upsetting was the in	ncident (di	chot	omous)																	
Upsetting	79.0	%	75.4	%	85.1	%	84.1	%	77.3	%	74.2	%	84.8	%	77.6	%	77.9	%	79.0	%
Not upsetting	19.8		23.0		11.2	!	15.9		20.2		25.8		15.2	!	19.6		20.7		21.0	!
Lead to problems with so	choolwork	or gr	ades																	
Yes	30.7	%	30.7	%	53.4	%!	34.4	%	31.7	%	31.1	%	30.3	%	36.1	%	20.1	%	42.0	%
No	67.0		67.6		39.1	ļ	64.4		65.8		68.9		69.7		58.9		76.7		58.0	
Lead to problems with fr	iends, roo	mma	tes, or p	eers																
Yes	43.7	%	40.0	%	47.3	%!	50.1	%	40.0	%	40.2	%	42.4	%	46.8	%	39.9	%	48.8	%
No	54.7		58.4		45.2		49.9		57.5		59.8		57.6		49.7		57.7		51.2	
Lead to problems with fa	mily mem	bers																		
Yes	22.9	%	13.5	%	57.9	%!	31.2	%	30.0	%	16.2	%	18.7	%	22.4	%	17.1	%	29.0	%
No	75.3		84.8		34.6	!	68.8		67.5		83.8		81.3		74.0		79.7		71.0	
Lead to problem with job	b, boss, or	cowo	rkers																	
Yes	13.0	%	12.6	%	49.0	%!	13.7	%!	17.7	%	6.5	%!	10.7	%!	12.2	%	10.8	%	13.5	%!
No	85.2		85.8		43.5	!	86.3		79.8		93.5		89.3		84.3		86.0		86.5	
As a result of the inciden	it, did you	more	or cha	nge w	here yo	u live	!													
Yes	7.2	%	5.1	%!	12.1	%!	8.5	%!	7.3	%!	5.1	%!	1.6	%!	11.3	%	5.3	%!	11.2	%!
No	91.1		93.3		80.4		91.5		90.2		94.9		98.4		85.9		91.6		88.8	
Did you want to move or	r change w	here	you live	as a	result o	f the	incider	nt												
Yes	15.5	%	7.7	%!	45.9	%!	11.7	%!	6.4	%!	15.6	%	4.2	%!	21.7	%	24.4	%	3.7	%!
No	70.7		80.6	_	34.4	!	70.9		71.4		78.6		92.5		58.8		65.0		85.1	

Table E-60. Victim impact characteristics of undergraduate female rape incidents (percentage of rape incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

			9				, ,							_		•				
Victim Impact											Sch	ool								
Characteristics	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7	7	8		9)
As a result of the inciden	nt, did you	drop	any cla	sses o	r chang	e you	r sched	lule												
Yes	8.4	%	8.5	%!	33.1	%!	10.4	%!	12.2	%	1.6	%!	1.3	%!	6.1	%!	7.6	%!	10.1	%!
No	89.5		89.3		59.4		89.6		84.5		97.5		98.7		90.3		90.1		84.9	
Did you want drop any c	lasses or cl	nang	e you so	hedu	le as a r	esult	of the i	incide	ent											
Yes	11.4	%	7.5	%	8.9	%!	13.5	%!	4.4	%!	20.3	%	16.8	%!	12.8	%	9.7	%	11.1	%!
No	74.1		77.4		47.7	!	70.3		72.4		74.3		80.2		73.4		78.1		72.3	
Did you think about taki	ng some ti	me o	ff from	schoo	l, transf	ferrin	g, or dr	oppir	ng out											
Yes	21.7	%	16.8	%	42.0	%!	26.7	%	17.4	%	27.9	%	17.3	%!	25.2	%	17.2	%	20.4	%
No	76.5		81.0		50.5	!	73.3		80.1		72.1		82.7		70.5		80.5		79.6	

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-61. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents involving victim impact characteristics

Victim Impact										Scho	ool								
Characteristics	Averag	e	1		2		3	4	ļ	5		6		7		8		9	
How upsetting was the incid	lent							•									•		
Very upsetting	1.9	%	4.1	%	10.8	%	5.6 %	4.6	%	4.0	%	5.7	%	4.0	%	4.3	%	5.9	%
Upsetting	2.0		4.4		7.8	!	6.0	5.4		3.9		6.1		3.7		4.4		7.0	
Not very upsetting	1.5		3.5		8.2	!	4.0 !	4.1		3.5		4.5	!	3.2		3.4		5.9	!
Not at all upsetting	0.6	!	1.1	!		!	2.1 !	1.7	!	1.1	!		!		!	1.2	!		!
How upsetting was the incid	lent (dichoto	omou	s)																
Upsetting	1.6	%	3.7	%	8.7	%	4.4 %	4.5	%	3.6	%	4.5	%	3.4	%	3.6	%	5.9	%
Not upsetting	1.5		3.6		8.2	!	4.4	4.3		3.6		4.5	!	3.2		3.5		5.9	!
Lead to problems with school	olwork or gr	ades																	
Yes	1.8	%	4.0	%	12.0	%!	5.7 %	5.2	%	3.8	%	5.8	%	3.8	%	3.6	%	7.0	%
No	1.9		4.1		11.5	!	5.8	5.2		3.8		5.8		3.9		3.8		7.0	
Lead to problems with frien	ds, roomma	tes, o	r peers																
Yes	2.0	%	4.3	%	12.4	%!	6.0 %	5.3	%	4.0	%	6.0	%	4.0	%	4.4	%	7.1	%
No	2.0		4.4		11.9		6.0	5.4		4.0		6.0		4.0		4.4		7.1	
Lead to problems with famil	y members																		
Yes	1.7	%	3.0	%	11.7	%!	5.6 %	5.0	%	3.0	%	4.8	%	3.4	%	3.3	%	6.4	%
No	1.7		3.2		11.1	!	5.6	5.1		3.0		4.8		3.6		3.5		6.4	
Lead to problem with job, b	oss, or cowo	rkers	;																
Yes	1.4	%	2.9	%	12.3	%!	4.2 %!	4.2	%	2.0	%!	4.0	%!	2.7	%	2.7	%	4.7	%!
No	1.4		3.1		11.8	!	4.2	4.4		2.0		4.0		3.0		3.1		4.7	
As a result of the incident, d	id you more	or ch	nange w	here	e you live	•													
Yes	1.1	%	1.9	%!	8.3	%!	3.3 %!	3.0	%!	1.8	%!	1.6	%!	2.6	%	2.3	%!	4.6	%!
No	1.2		2.2		9.4		3.3	3.4		1.8		1.6		2.9		2.7		4.6	
Did you want to move or ch	ange where	you l	ive as a	resu	It of the	incide	nt												
Yes	1.5	%	2.3	%!	12.3	%! <u></u>	3.9 %!	2.5	%!	3.0	%	2.3	%!	3.3	%	4.1	%	2.5	%!
No	1.9		3.4		11.1	!	5.4	4.9		3.4		3.1		3.9		4.4		5.1	

Table E-61. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female rape incidents involving victim impact characteristics (continued)

Victim Impact											Scho	ool								
Characteristics	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	,
As a result of the incident, did	l you drop	any c	lasses o	or ch	ange yo	ur sc	hedule													
Yes	1.2	%	2.5	%!	11.6	%!	3.7	%!	3.4	%	1.0	%!	1.3	%!	1.9	%!	2.4	%!	4.8	%!
No	1.2		2.7		11.9		3.7		3.8		1.3		1.3		2.3		2.7		5.7	
Did you want drop any classes	s or change	you	schedu	le as	a resul	t of t	he incid	lent												
Yes	1.3	%	2.1	%	6.0	%!	4.2	%!	2.6	%!	3.3	%	4.8	%!	2.8	%	2.6	%	4.6	%!
No	1.8		3.5		12.3	!	5.5		4.8		3.6		5.1		3.6		3.7		6.7	
Did you think about taking so	me time of	f fro	n schoo	ol, tra	ansferri	ng, o	r dropp	ing o	ut											
Yes	1.7	%	3.2	%	12.0	%!	5.3	%	4.4	%	3.7	%	5.0	%!	3.5	%	3.5	%	5.5	%
No	1.7		3.3		12.2	!	5.3		4.6		3.7		5.0		3.7		3.6		5.5	

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-62. Victim impact characteristics of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents (percentage of sexual battery incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year

Victim Impact											Scho	ool								
Characteristics	Averag	ge	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
How upsetting was the incide	ent				•		•		•		•									
Very upsetting	11.0	%	7.4	%	7.1	%!	12.5	%	15.0	%	11.0	%	12.6	%	9.5	%	11.6	%	12.5	%
Upsetting	35.9		26.6		59.5	!	39.1		36.0		42.9		29.4		39.3		35.9		40.2	
Not very upsetting	43.7		55.5		30.4	!	41.8		38.0		37.0		50.1		40.5		41.8		34.8	
Not at all upsetting	8.4		9.0		2.9	!	5.8	!	7.6	!	9.1		7.1	!	9.6		9.7		10.7	
How upsetting was the incide	nt (dichote	omou	ıs)																	
Upsetting	46.9	%	34.0	%	66.6	%	51.6	%	51.0	%	53.8	%	42.0	%	48.8	%	47.6	%	52.8	%
Not upsetting	52.0		64.5		33.4	!	47.7		45.6		46.2		57.2		50.1		51.6		45.6	
Lead to problems with school	work or gr	ades																		
Yes	7.3	%	5.6	%	17.0	%!	6.7	%!	8.2	%!	9.3	%	9.6	%!	6.1	%!	8.5	%	6.2	%!
No	91.3		92.2		83.0		92.5		88.5		90.7		89.6		92.8		89.9		92.1	
Lead to problems with friend	s, roomma	tes, c	r peers																	
Yes	14.1	%	12.2	%	28.1	%!	13.9	%	16.6	%	16.4	%	17.2	%	18.6	%	11.9	%	12.7	%
No	84.4		85.5		71.9		85.4		80.1		83.6		82.0		80.3		86.4		85.6	
Lead to problems with family	members																			
Yes	4.1	%	3.1	%	14.4	%!	3.5	%!	4.5	%!	7.1	%	7.5	%!	5.0	%!	2.7	%!	5.6	%!
No	94.4		94.6		85.6		95.8		92.2		92.3		91.7		94.0		95.7		92.8	
Lead to problem with job, bo	ss, or cowo	rker	5																	
Yes	3.7	%	1.0	%!	16.6	%!	4.8	%!	2.3	%!	4.8	%!	11.8	%	3.1	%!	3.1	%!	2.4	%!
No	94.7		96.7		83.4		94.4		93.6		95.2		87.4		95.8		94.7		95.9	
As a result of the incident, die	d you more	or c	hange w	her	e you liv	re														
Yes	1.1	%	0.3	%!		%!	1.5	%!		%!	1.1	%!	0.9	%!	1.7	%!	1.5	%!	1.8	%!
No	97.4		97.0		/		97.8		96.7		98.9		98.3		97.2		97.2		96.5	
Did you want to move or cha	nge where	you l	ive as a	resu	ılt of the	e inci	dent													
Yes	6.7	%	1.4	%!	32.5	%!	7.0	%!	6.0	%!	7.9	%	3.3	%!	11.4	%	8.7	%	5.7	% !
No	86.9		92.3		67.5		85.6		83.6		89.0		92.7		83.2		86.3		82.5	1

Table E-62. Victim impact characteristics of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents (percentage of sexual battery incidents involving characteristic), by school, 2014-2015 academic year (continued)

Victim Impact											Scho	ool								
Characteristics	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
As a result of the incident, di	d you drop	any c	lasses o	or ch	ange yo	ur sc	hedule													
Yes	1.6	%	0.7	%!		%!	1.4	%!	5.6	%!	2.5	%!	0.8	%!	1.6	%!	1.0	%!	3.2	%!
No	96.4		96.6		/		97.2		90.5		97.0		98.4		97.3		96.5		93.9	
Did you want drop any classe	s or change	e you	schedu	le as	a resul	t of t	he incid	lent												
Yes	4.4	%	2.6	%!	13.1	%!	3.3	%!	4.7	%!	2.9	%!	5.1	%!	5.0	%!	7.2	%	2.9	%!
No	87.2		91.7		86.9		83.6		81.3		92.7		88.7		88.8		86.8		84.8	
Did you think about taking so	me time o	ff fro	n schoo	ol, tra	ansferri	ng, o	r dropp	ing o	ut				•							
Yes	5.9	%	0.7	%!	14.6	%!	9.1	%	8.5	%!	8.7	%	4.7	%!	7.3	%	5.3	%	5.0	%!
No	92.4		96.4		85.4		90.2		87.3		91.3		94.5		91.6		93.1		92.8	

/ Greater than 99.5%

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-63. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents involving victim impact characteristics

Victim Impact						School				
Characteristics	Average	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
How upsetting was the incide	ent	•	•	•					1	
Very upsetting	1.0 %	1.3 %	4.9 %!	2.7 %	3.7 %	2.2 %	3.0 %	2.0 %	2.3 %	2.9 %
Upsetting	1.4	2.2	11.4 !	4.1	4.8	3.5	4.4	3.4	3.3	4.4
Not very upsetting	1.5	2.5	10.4 !	4.1	5.2	3.4	4.8	3.4	3.4	4.2
Not at all upsetting	0.8	1.3	2.9 !	2.0 !	2.8 !	2.0	2.5 !	2.0	2.0	2.6
How upsetting was the incide	ent (dichotomo	us)								
Upsetting	1.5 %	2.4 %	10.7 %	4.2 %	5.2 %	3.5 %	4.7 %	3.5 %	3.4 %	4.4 %
Not upsetting	1.5	2.4	10.7 !	4.2	5.2	3.5	4.8	3.5	3.4	4.4
Lead to problems with school	lwork or grade:	5								
Yes	0.8 %	1.1 %	8.2 %!	2.1 %!	3.0 %!	2.1 %	2.9 %!	1.8 %!	1.8 %	2.2 %!
No	0.8	1.4	8.2	2.2	3.4	2.1	3.0	1.9	2.0	2.5
Lead to problems with friend	ls, roommates,	or peers								
Yes	1.0 %	1.6 %	10.9 %!	2.9 %	3.8 %	2.5 %	3.7 %	2.7 %	2.2 %	3.1 %
No	1.1	1.8	10.9	2.9	4.1	2.5	3.8	2.8	2.3	3.3
Lead to problems with family	y members									
Yes	0.6 %	0.9 %	9.4 %!	1.5 %!	2.2 %!	1.9 %	2.6 %!	1.7 %!	1.2 %!	2.2 %!
No	0.7	1.2	9.4	1.7	2.9	1.9	2.7	1.8	1.4	2.5
Lead to problem with job, bo	ss, or coworke	·s								
Yes	0.6 %	0.5 %!	10.8 %!	1.8 %!	1.6 %!	1.5 %!	3.1 %	1.2 %!	1.1 %!	1.3 %!
No	0.7	0.9	10.8	1.9	2.5	1.5	3.2	1.4	1.5	1.7
As a result of the incident, di	d you more or o	hange whe	e you live							
Yes	0.3 %	0.3 %!	%!	1.0 %!	%!	0.7 %!	0.8 %!	0.9 %!	0.9 %!	1.2 %!
No	0.5	0.9		1.2	1.9	0.7	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.7
Did you want to move or cha	inge where you	live as a res	ult of the inci	dent						
Yes	0.7 %	0.6 %!	12.0 %!	2.1 %!	2.4 %!	1.9 %	1.6 %!	2.3 %	1.8 %	2.1 %!
No	1.0	1.4	12.0	3.0	3.8	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.3	3.5

Table E-63. Standard errors of percentage of undergraduate female sexual battery incidents involving victim impact characteristics (continued)

Victim Impact											Sch	ool								
Characteristics	Averag	e	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
As a result of the incident, die	d you drop	any c	lasses c	or ch	ange yo	ur sc	hedule													
Yes	0.4	%	0.3	%!		%!	1.0	%!	3.4	%!	1.1	%!	0.8	%!	1.1	%!	0.7	%!	1.8	%!
No	0.6		1.0				1.4		3.8		1.2		1.1		1.3		1.2		2.2	
Did you want drop any classe	s or change	you	schedu	le as	a resul	t of t	he incid	lent												
Yes	0.6	%	0.8	%!	6.9	%!	1.5	%!	2.3	%!	1.1	%!	2.2	%!	1.5	%!	1.8	%	1.4	%!
No	1.0		1.4		6.9		3.1		4.5		1.8		3.0		2.3		2.3		3.3	
Did you think about taking so	me time of	f fron	n schoo	ol, tra	ansferri	ng, o	r dropp	ing o	ut											
Yes	0.7	%	0.4	%!	7.9	%!	2.4	%	2.9	%!	1.9	%	1.8	%!	1.8	%	1.5	%	1.9	%!
No	0.8		1.0		7.9		2.5		3.4		1.9		2.0		1.9		1.7		2.2	

^{! =} Interpret with caution; estimate based on 10 or fewer sample cases or relative standard error is greater than 50

⁻⁻Less than 0.05%

Table E-64. Order in which incidents were listed by undergraduate female sexual assault victims with more than one sexual assault incident, 2014-2015 academic year

	Percent	
Month of incident	·	
Earliest to latest	80.7	%
Latest to earliest	5.1	
All in same month	13.0	
No order	1.3	
Severity of incident		
Most severe to least severe	19.3	%
Least severe to most severe	9.7	
All rape	17.3	
All battery (excluding rape)	48.2	
All unsure	0.8	
No types of sexual contact endorsed	1.1	
All missing	3.7	
Any month of incident unsure		
Unsure first	19.6	%
Unsure last	27.3	
All unsure	50.4	
No pattern	2.8	

Table E-65. False positive and false negative victimization rates among undergraduate females, by latent class analysis (LCA) variable, 2014-2015 academic year

	False	gative	False Positive					
	Estimate		SE		Estimate		SE	
LCA A	17.9	%	1.2	%	0.6	%	0.1	%
LCA B	9.9		1.0		0.6		0.1	
LCA C	7.3		0.9		1.3		0.1	
LCA D	21.2		1.4		1.1		0.1	

SE= standard error

Table E-66. Unbiased estimates vs. current estimates of sexual assault victimization among undergraduate females, by school, 2014-2015 academic year

		sed	Current (Survey Item P2) ^a						
School	Estimate		SE		Estimate	;	SE		
Average	10.7	%	0.3	%	10.2	%	0.2	%	
School 2	4.5		0.9		4.2		0.6		
School 4	6.0		0.6		5.8		0.4		
School 6	7.0		0.7		7.0		0.4		
School 9	8.4		0.7		7.1		0.4		
School 3	8.1		0.6		8.7		0.6		
School 8	11.1		0.7		10.7		0.6		
School 7	12.7		0.8		11.9		0.5		
School 5	17.9		1.2		16.9		0.6		
School 1	21.3		1.1		20.0		0.7		

Source: Campus Climate Survey Validation Study (CCSVS), 2015

SE= standard error

^aPrior to calculating estimates, weights were standardized within schools such that each school's weights summed to the same weight total and thus schools contributed equally to overall totals. This was done to be consistent with the LCA estimates and mirror, as closely as possible, the overall estimate calculations used in the remainder of the report.

Table E-67. Unbiased estimates vs. current estimates of sexual assault victimization among undergraduate females, by year of study, 2014-2015 academic year

Year of Study	Unbiased				Current (Survey Item P2)a				
	Estimate	;	SE		Estimate	;	SE		
Year 1	12.8	%	0.6	%	12.1	%	0.4	%	
Year 2	11.6		0.6		10.6		0.4		
Year 3	10.1		0.6		9.9		0.4		
Year 4	8.9		0.5		8.8		0.3		

SE= standard error

Table E-68. Unbiased estimates vs. current estimates of sexual assault victimization among undergraduate females, by sexual orientation, 2014-2015 academic year

		sed	Current (Survey Item P2) ^a					
Sexual Orientation	Estimate		SE		Estimate		SE	
Heterosexual	9.8	%	0.3	%	9.3	%	0.2	%
Non-heterosexual	19.1		1.2		16.6		0.8	

Source: Campus Climate Survey Validation Study (CCSVS), 2015

SE= standard error

^aPrior to calculating estimates, weights were standardized within schools such that each school's weights summed to the same weight total and thus schools contributed equally to overall totals. This was done to be consistent with the LCA estimates and mirror, as closely as possible, the overall estimate calculations used in the remainder of the report.

^aPrior to calculating estimates, weights were standardized within schools such that each school's weights summed to the same weight total and thus schools contributed equally to overall totals. This was done to be consistent with the LCA estimates and mirror, as closely as possible, the overall estimate calculations used in the remainder of the report.